Impact of gestational diabetes mellitus in maternal and fetal health: An update
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Abstract

In recent years, there has been an increased incidence of gestational diabetes (GDM), defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with the onset or first recognition during pregnancy with or without remission after the end of pregnancy. The most significant risk factors are: age >25 years, obesity, high parity, family history of DM, past history of GDM or macromoson infant. GDM therapy should be based on a healthy diet, exercising and glycemic control, with or without insulin. The presence of GDM has important implications for both the baby and the mother. As regard baby complications, GDM is associated with a significantly increased risk of macrosomia, shoulder dystocia, birth injuries as well as neonatal hypoglycemia and hyperbilirubinemia, genetic risk for the development of obesity, diabetes and/or metabolic syndrome in childhood. As regard mother complications, GDM is a strong risk factor for the development of permanent diabetes later in life (40% in 10 subsequent years) and GDM in successive pregnancies (35%), stress urinary incontinence and mixed urinary incontinence, doubled risk for overactive bladder during premenopausal period, cardiovascular morbidity. This review briefly examine the risk factors, diagnostic criteria, best therapy and management, short and long term complications for the mother and the fetus associated with such pathology.

Introduction

The classification of diabetes mellitus (DM), according to the World Health Organization (WHO), is as follows: DM type 1, DM type 2, other rare types of DM and GDM [1].

In later years, there has been an increased incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) [2]. GDM is the most common complication of pregnancy, with important effects on maternal and fetal health, even years after delivery. GDM is defined, according to the American Diabetes Association (ADA), as a diabetes that is first diagnosed during pregnancy that is not overt diabetes before gestation [3]. GDM is associated with increased risk of maternal and perinatal complication [4] with short and long-term effects [5]. An adequate and early treatment of maternal hyperglycemia leads to the reduction of the risks in mothers with GDM. It is important to understand when and how to screen these women for future manage, even if there are no universal guidelines [6]. Currently, there is a growing interest in lifestyle change and in particular more physical activity (PA), changes in dietary patterns and maintaining a healthy body weight aimed at achieving and maintaining euglycemia through the consumption of adequate meal portions, the distribution of carbohydrates and consumption of foods with a lower glycemic index [7,8].

The prevalence of GDM

The prevalence of GDM has been estimated variably between 3% and 14%, depending on the method used for both the diagnosis and the study population [9]. An exclusively high prevalence was detected in Zuni Indian women (14.3%), Chinese women, Indian-born women in Melbourne-Australia (13.9% and 15%, respectively), and Asian women in Illawara-Australia (11.9%) [10]. A meta-analysis of 1,770.63 participants in 40 different studies conducted by Eades et al. [11] reported that in Europe, Italy has the highest prevalence of GDM (10%) and Sweden the lowest (1.5%). A 1997 study has indeed underlined that different ethnic groups, in the same environmental setting, have widely variable risk [12]. However, the differences in approaches used across different studies, methods of screening, and diagnostic criteria make it difficult to understand if this marked ethnic and geographical variation causes true differences in the prevalence of GDM [13,14]. For example, a study has taken into account the ethnicity as well as the maternal age and the degree of obesity in comparison with the prevalence of GDM in different populations. The adjusted relative risk for GDM was higher in black [1.81, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.13, 2.89], and Hispanic [2.45, 95% CI 1.48, 4.04] women than in white women [15].

Inflammation in GDM

GDM and DM2 share many pathophysiological characteristics and, above all, insulin resistance (IR), thus they are closely associated [1]. The balance between adequate insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity maintains normal glucose tolerance [16]. The pancreatic beta cells release insulin when blood glucose concentrations rise above normal values to allow normal blood glucose levels to be achieved [17]. In a normal pregnancy, insulin requirements are high because of multiple factors, including increased production of placental growth hormone, progesterone, cortisol, prolactin and human placental lactogen, estrogen and tumor necrosis factor α
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It is thought that GDM is related to beta cell dysfunction that occurs in the context of insulin resistance through a 67% reduction in pancreatic beta cell function in women with GDM compared to normal pregnant women [20].

Adipose tissue is an endocrine organ through which the synthesis and secretion of adipokines including pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators, such as leptin, adiponectin, and resistin, is well known and therefore obesity and inflammation are the main actors in the development of IR [21]. During normal pregnancy, the secretion of altered adipokines contributes to glucose homeostasis, both through direct mechanisms and therefore through the regulation of insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity, and through indirect mechanisms that refer to inflammation, to the regulation of adipogenesis, chemoattraction of immune cells and subsequent effects on glucose metabolism [22].

Recent studies show changes in adipokine concentration levels, identifying low levels of serum adiponectin in women with GDM [23]. The expression of placental adiponectin is also regulated in GDM, suggesting that a reduction of serum adiponectin in GDM is at least partly due to the low placental secretion, opposite to the adipocytes [23]. Low levels of adiponectin aggravate insulin resistance and are correlated with pancreatic beta dysfunction, a characteristic of GDM.

High levels of leptin, in GDM women, positively associated with body adiposity and insulin resistance, influences the glucose utilization and the glycogen synthesis. Serum leptin concentrations are directly proportional to fat mass and the reduced reactivity of central leptin or leptin is observed in obesity. From the early stages of pregnancy there is an increase in leptin levels, which implies that the increases are not due only to the increase in maternal weight. It appears that plasma and placental resistin levels in women with GDM are higher than in women not pregnant, although not all the studies confirmed this role. Visfatin, a protein involved in the maturation of beta cells, shows higher concentrations in women with GDM compared to non-GDM women [21,22].

Risk factors for GDM

Arora D and colleagues showed that it is important to understand which are the main risk factors for GDM in order to improve screening programs and diagnostic accuracy [24]. The most important risk factors for GDM, that affect the pregnant woman, include obesity and maternal overweight (Body Mass Index, BMI > 30, or increase in pregnancy weight over 110% of ideal body weight) a particular ethnicity or race, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), prediabetes, any previous history of GDM, any family history of type 2 diabetes, previous history of fetal death, history of childbirth of any macrosomic child weighing 4.5 kg or more (NICE 2015) and increase of maternal age (>25 years) [25,26]. In particular, both obesity at the beginning of pregnancy and an excessive gestational weight gain are significant factors for GDM [27] so the Institute of Medicine (IOM) developed guidelines for adequate weight gain during pregnancy according to pre-pregnant BMI [28]. In fact, overweight may be associated with a rapid increase in insulin resistance leading to the depletion of pancreatic β-cells, thereby reducing the ability to compensate due to the increasing insulin resistance of pregnancy, and therefore lead to maternal hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia and excessive fetal growth. However, as adipose tissue may differ in its functional related to its localization, a recent study has demonstrated that central adiposity is the most important regional deposition of fat connected to GDM [29]. At the present time, it has been shown that GDM is also associated with several conditions, in particular polycystic ovary syndrome, characterized by an association between insulin resistance and hyperandrogenism. The results of the study conducted by Joham et al showed an association between the presence of PCOS and an increase in the prevalence of GDM (11.2% compared to 3.8% of women without polycystic ovaries) and of DM2 (5.1% compared to 0, 3% of women without polycystic ovaries) [30].

According to Roos n. et al. women with PCOS are more often obese and more commonly subjected to ART (assisted reproduction technology) than women without PCOS (60.6% vs 34.8% and 13.7% vs 1.5%). Other interesting association are also between polycystic ovary, pre-eclampsia and perterm delivery: doubling of the risk of GDM was also observed [31].

Screening and diagnosis of GDM

According to the screening and diagnosis protocol for GDM, all pregnant women should be evaluated for clinical characteristics, to determine the risk of GDM, and a 50-g oral glucose-challenge test (OGCT), unless they have a low-risk clinical profile. Such assessment usually occurs between 24th and 28th weeks of gestation, followed by an oral glucose tolerance test (100g-OGTT) if the serum glucose concentration at screening is high [32]. However, these criteria are not worldwide accepted; in fact, there are many data that prove a relationship between the maternal hyperglycemia and the risk for an adverse perinatal outcome, independent of other risk factors, so that support the one-step approach with 75g-OGTT [33]. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) has adopted the IADPSG recommendation [34], while the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) continues to favor the traditional two-step approach [35]. In past years, there was significant variation between the criteria for determining abnormal values. In fact, in 1964, the original criteria established for the GDM diagnosis were based on 100-g. 3-h OGTT [36].

In the late 70s, the National Diabetes Data Group (NDDG) criteria of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) set the use of:

- fasting plasma glucose level at 105 mg/dl
- 1-hour plasma glucose level at 190 mg/dl
- 2-hour plasma glucose level at 165 mg/dl
- 3-hour plasma glucose level at 145 mg/dl [37]

Carpenter and Coustan in 1982 made a reduction to the reference values of the OGTT, respectively; fasting plasma glucose level at 95 mg/dl

1-hour plasma glucose level at 180 mg/dl 2-hour plasma glucose level at 155 mg/dl

3-hour plasma glucose level at 140 mg/dl [38].

The HAPO study (Hypoglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome) conducted in 2008 was the first attempt to bring the agreement back. It was a prospective observational study designed to establish glycemic threshold values with OGTT of 75 g testing at 24 to 32 weeks of gestation, predictive of maternal and fetal complications: caesarean section, fetal macrosomia, fetal hyperinsulinemia, neonatal morbidity (shoulder dystocia, hypoglycemia, hyperbilirubinemia, respiratory distress) [33] IADSPG (International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups) in 2010 developed new guidelines for one
universal screening of GDM with 75 g OGTT at the 24th and 28th week of gestation. It has been proposed the use of cut-off in OGTT, which allowed the identification with an OR of 1.5, 1.75 or 2.0 in the risk of fetal macrosomia, adiposity neonatal and fetal hyperinsulinemia, but the IADPSG expert group concluded that the default value for the OR at the threshold should be 1.75 [39] (Table 1).

Today, the IADPSG criteria allow to identify new cases of GDM, previously considered as normal, by using other criteria preventing the complications due to hyperglycemia [40].

### Prevention, therapy and management of GDM

The prevention has a central role in GDM management, in particular in high-risk women. It has been proved that exercise and healthy diet, before and during early pregnancies, are associated with reduction in GDM risk. In particular, it has been shown a reduction of 51% in the development of GDM for women who practiced regular recreational physical activity in the year prior to pregnancy and a reduction of 48% in the development of GDM for women who had recreational physical activity during the first 20 weeks of pregnancy. If these two activities are combined together, a reduction of 60% of GDM risk has been documented [41]. The beneficial role of physical activity has also been confirmed by the meta-analysis conducted by Tobias DK et al. which indicates that greater total physical activity before pregnancy or at the beginning of pregnancy was significantly associated with a lower risk of GDM [42].

There are also other studies whose results have not shown that physical activity can prevent the appearance of GDM. One of those is The LIMIT study, a randomized trial, conducted in Australia in 2014 to assess whether lifestyle intervention in 2212 overweight or obese women was effective in improving maternal and child health outcomes. Two groups of women were recruited including 1108 women with dietary and lifestyle intervention and 1104 women with standard treatment. This study did not show differences in neonatal (large for gestational age) and maternal outcomes (hypertension, pre-eclampsia and GDM) between the two groups [43]. The controlled randomized UPBEAT (Better Eating and Activity Trial) study in the UK involving 1555 patients of different ethnicities aged over 16 years and BMI > 30 kg/m2. This study showed an improvement in glycemic load, a reduction in weight gain and fat mass in the intervention group but no differences in the incidence of GDM and large-for gestational-age infants between the groups [44]. Another RCT Finnish study, called RADIEL, consisted of examining the effect of mediated physical activity and dietary intervention in 269 women with high-risk GDM or BMI > 30 kg/m2 (144 of whom were in the intervention group and 125 in the control group) recruited before the 20th week of pregnancy. In the women involved in the intervention group, the overall incidence of GDM was reduced by 39% compared to the control group [45]. During the European Multicentre study “DALI Lifestyle Pilot” 150 women were enrolled before the 20th week of gestation, with a high risk of GDM (BMI > 29 kg/m2). Eligible women were randomized into three groups, those following dietary intervention, those following physical activity and those following both (diietary intervention and physical activity). The results showed a low fasting glycaemia and a lower increase in the gestational weight in the group treated with the diet alone compared to the group treated with physical activity only, however, no differences were found in the frequency of GDM development between the different groups [46].

As physical activity regard, evidences are not enough to suggest that physical activity during pregnancy could be effective in reducing the risk of developing GDM.

Prevention of obesity is a key point in the prevention of GDM; in fact, Sabire NJ et colleagues demonstrated that women with a BMI ≥ 30 were more likely to develop gestational diabetes than women with a BMI between 20.0-24.9 [47]. Exercise and weight loss is able to improve insulin sensitivity, decreasing sympathetic activity and/or increasing parasympathetic activity as well as lower resting heart rate and blood pressure [48]. Nutritional therapy is essential in the treatment of GDM, as it helps to avoid an excessive increase in weight, minimizing the onset of macromosal fetuses and neonatal complications [49].

The American Diabetes Association claims that GDM patients should achieve metabolic control only with nutritional therapy and lifestyle changes [49]. Various methods of dietary counselling may be used in the nutritional therapy in GDM; in particular: i) the glycemcic index that is based, mainly, by replacing higher glycemcic index foods by lower ones throughout the day; ii) the method based on the energy distribution of macronutrients in meals; and iii) the carbohydrate counting method [50].

However, the first therapeutic approach should be a dietary therapy. In fact, nutrition counselling can optimize maternal and fetal outcomes so it is recommended a minimum of three visits with a diettian, with the purpose of: a) reach and maintain normoglycemia of the mother; b) prevent ketosis and its effects; c) provide an appropriate increase of gestational based on the index of maternal body mass weight (BMI) d) contribute to normal fetal growth [51,52]. Several authors have studied the better dietary composition [53], recommending high intake of fruit, green leafy vegetables, poultry, fish and whole grain, avoiding high intake of red meat, processed meat, refined grain products, sweets, French fries, sugar-sweetened beverage and pizza, however with a diet based on a nutritional assessment with indications on the dietary intake of reference for all pregnant women; minimum 175 g of carbohydrates, 71 g of protein and 28 g of fiber [52]. Moreover, polyunsaturated fat intake may be protective against glucose intolerance in pregnancy, whilst high intake of saturated fat may be detrimental. In fact, it has been demonstrated that elevated circulating fatty acids play a role in GDM development [54]. Furthermore, women with GDM are specifically recommended to follow meal plans with carbohydrate intake distributed throughout the day into 3 small to moderate sized meals and 2-3 snacks [55]. However, it is very important to give individualized nutritional care for women with GDM in order to have better perinatal outcomes [51].

Taking into account what written before, glucose monitoring is very important and the minimum goals for glycaemia are [56]:

- Fasting capillary blood glucose level 95 mg/dL (5.3 mmol/L)
- One-hour postprandial capillary blood glucose level,140 mg/dL (7.8 mmol/L) or
- Two-hour postprandial capillary blood glucose level, 120 mg/dL (6.7 mmol/L).

| Table 1: Guidelines for the universal screening of GDM according to IADPSG |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| OGTT 75 gr           | OR 1.75         | OR 2            |
| fasting plasma glucose level | 92 mg/dL | 95 mg/dL |
| 1-hour plasma glucose level   | 180 mg/dL   | 191 mg/dL     |
| 2-hour plasma glucose level    | 153 mg/dL   | 162 mg/dL     |
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If medical nutrition therapy and lifestyle cannot reach and maintain the metabolic targets, insulin therapy is the gold standard in the treatment of GDM as it is not able to cross the placenta in a measurable extent, although there is no evidence to support the benefits of any type of insulin or insulin regimen compared to another [56-59]. Insulin therapy should be considered if the plasma glucose goals are not met on two or more occasions during a 1 to 2 weeks follow-up, particularly if there is a clinical or ultrasonographic suspicion of macrosomia [57]. Usually, during pregnancy, a basal/bolus combination of long and short-acting insulin preparations are used. However, therapy should be individualized and based on local expertise [60]. Human insulin and insulin analogues, such as lispro insulin, aspart and glargine, could be used in pregnancy. Nevertheless, it has been demonstrated that insulin analogues, especially lispro and aspart, may produce better glycemic control with less hypo-glycemia risk compared to the use of human insulin [61]. The most used regimen is modified multidose, with a short acting insulin analogue administered before meals as required, and a medium acting insulin at bedtime if fasting blood glucose levels are elevated [61]. The use of metformin has been seen to be associated with a lower risk of neonatal hypoglycemia and a smaller increase in maternal weight compared to insulin, however, it has been shown that metformin may slightly increase the risk of preterm birth [56]. There are many studies on the effectiveness and safety of oral hypoglycemic agents during pregnancy, but only few data are available on long term effects in the offspring [62]. Metformin remains a reasonable second-line choice in women who refuse insulin therapy or in those who will not be able to safely administer insulin [63].

Gliburide also, as well as metformin, crosses the placenta [56]. The results of some studies showed adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes with gliburide compared to insulin in the treatment of GDM and in particular higher rates of hyperbilirubinemia, respiratory distress syndrome, macrosomia, hypoglycemia, hypertension, birth injury [63,64]. Since in the results of many studies, treatment with gliburide produces insulin-equivalent outcomes, it should not be recommended as a first-line pharmacological treatment [63]. More randomized controlled trials are required to provide information on long-term follow up on neonatal and cognitive development.

Complication for both the mother and fetus

The presence of GDM has important implications for both the baby and the mother [65]. As regard baby complication, GDM is associated with a significantly increased risk of macrosomia, shoulder dystocia, birth injuries as well as neonatal hypoglycemia and hypobilirubinemia [66]. GDM also adds an intrauterine environmental risk factor to an increased genetic risk for the development of obesity, diabetes and/or metabolic syndrome in childhood [67,68]. Although the underlying mechanism is still unknown, recent findings regarding the effect of GDM on DNA methylation of genes involved in energy metabolism, anti-inflammatory processes, insulin resistance and β-cell apoptosis could partially explain the increased risk for cardio-metabolic morbidities later in life [69]. Moreover, hyperglycemia modifies the expressions of angiogenesis associated molecules in the trophoblast and pro-inflammation factors such as IL-6 and TNF-α, which adversely affect the intrauterine environment [70,71]. Danielsen I and colleagues demonstrated that not only maternal glycemic state but also maternal glucose intake is associated with offspring adiposity [71].

As regard mother complications, GDM is a strong risk factor for the development of permanent diabetes later in life (40% in 10 subsequent years) [72] and GDM in successive pregnancies (35%), increasing with the age and weight of the mother [73]. An important intervention on long-term metabolic benefits for both mother and offspring has been attributed to breastfeeding [56]. In the offspring a protective role was seen against excessive fat accumulation, protection against childhood infections, cardiovascular diseases and type 2 diabetes, while in women an association between lactation and low concentrations of glucose and insulin and a better tolerance to glucose was seen [21] and a significant delay in the appearance of type 2 diabetes in women with GDM [74]. Several recent studies have found an association between GDM and several long term complications. Stress urinary incontinence and mixed urinary incontinence [75], double risk for overactive bladder during pemenopausal period [76] and cardiovascular morbidity [77] are the more significant. So, it is important to measure fasting glucose in the immediate postpartum period in order to identify women with persistent fasting hyperglycemia in the diabetic range [78]. The ADA [79], and the Fifth International Workshop-Conference on Gestational Diabetes Mellitus [80] advised long-term follow-up for women with GDM using a 2-h, 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). This long-term follow-up is essential and the reassessment of the glycemic status should be undertaken at least 3 years because a negative postpartum screening test excludes only the presence of type 1 or type 2 diabetes at the time of the test. This long-term follow-up is essential, and reassessment of glycemic status should be undertaken at a minimum of 3 years because a negative postpartum screening test only excludes the presence of type 1 or type 2 diabetes at the time of the test. In 2010, the ADA [81] as well as in 2011 the WHO [82] consistently added HbA1c levels ≥6.5% (49 mmol/mol) to their diagnostic recommendations of overt diabetes. However, this method is not universally approved because of evidence for large interindividual physiologic variability.

Conclusions

The findings of this review show how screening, diagnosis and early intervention in the lifestyle, help to better follow the future mother and reduce complications for both the mother and the offspring. Although there are not worldwide-accepted criteria, the IADPSG criteria should be the preferred international approach. Early identification of these patients is important as they have a higher risk of both maternal and fetal outcomes and treatment should begin as soon as possible.
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