A multi-dimensional approach to lichen sclerosus therapy
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Lichen sclerosus (LS) [1,2,3] is an inflammatory dermatologic chronically relapsing disease with a potential for atrophy, hypertrophy, destructive scarring, functional impairment, leucoplasia, and malignant evolution [4]. Therefore, early diagnosis, prompt treatment, and long-term follow-up of affected patients are mandatory. Spontaneous remissions are rare. Despite there isn’t a causal therapy, LS can be controlled by adequate treatment: in several cases, it could be observed a complete remission of signs and symptoms. With early treatment, long-term sequelae such as destruction of anatomic structures and progression to squamous cell carcinoma may be prevented. In particular cases, could be very useful that a multidisciplinary approach, so is necessary that dermatologists, urologists and gynecologists have a solid knowledge of the disease and will not hesitate to cooperate if required. Since LS begins with uncharacteristic symptoms, a peculiar clinical examination, and if necessary, a histopathologic confirmation is required. Despite many efforts have been made, in recent years, to find an appropriate therapy that could cure effectively lichen sclerosus, there are many treatment failures. While many cases of LS must not be treated surgically, it is also true, that many LS are due to congenital or acquired alterations that caused the persistence of the disease; this anatomical changes can be identified as “trigger factors” for the auto-maintenance of LS signs and symptoms; in this occasion, only topical and/or micro-infiltrative approach could be insufficient for a long-term management and could delay or making inadequate the only topical and/or micro-infiltrative approaches. For this reason we have developed, over 6 year (2008 to present) in 58 patients (36 male, 22 female aged from 28 to 65 year-old suffering from moderate to severe lichen sclerosis, a multi-dimensional protocol that is based the following triad: conservative surgical therapy, topical therapy and micro-infiltrative therapy. We made a diagnosis of LS, both on the basis of clinical data and through biopsy, in compliance with the recent guidelines of the British Association of Dermatologists [5]. The patients were evaluated by the investigator on the Investigator’s Global Assessment (IGA) and the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) [6].

We divided our patients into 2 groups, A (=16; male=8; female=8) and B (=16; male=8; female=8), with homogeneous IGA and DLQI. For group A patients, we proposed a multi-dimensional therapeutic approach that consisted in:

a) Conservative dermosurgical approach, limited to eliminate peculiar alteration as the presence of a short and sclerotic frenulum, partial or total sclerotic phimosis, balano-preputial/vulvar synechiae or leucoplastic areas, associated to histological exam.

b) Topical Therapy with clobetasolpropionate (CP) + Vit E emollient cream

c) Subdermal micro-infiltration with polydeoxyribonucleotide (PDRN)

For group B, we proposed a single therapy with

a) Ultra-potent steroid cream (clobetasol propionate + Vit E emollient cream)

All patients gave their informed consent to the treatment after an exhaustive explanation of effects, side or unwanted effects, especially related to surgery, ultrapotent corticosteroid topical application or topical immune-modulators, and (in our cases) subdermal administration of Polydeoxyribonucleotide (PDRN) [7].

At the end of the therapeutic sessions, all group A patients (n=16) experienced a significant improvement of the condition as shown in Table 1. All group B patients showed only moderate clinical improvements. After therapy, a statistically significant reduction of the score was found in both groups (Table 1).

There were no other adverse reactions in other group A and group B patients. All patients have shown normal serologic parameters, before, during, and after therapy. The results obtained in group A were maintained until last clinical control (6 months after), while some patients of group B (n=9) have demonstrated slight to moderate representation of pathologic signs, 4–6 months after the end of therapy.

In the light of these results, we sustain that the most important anatomical and functional abnormalities, that we believe may delay or prevent the benefits of an effective therapy, and in which we performed a dermatologic conservative surgical treatment are:

For men:
• The presence of a short and sclerotic frenulum
• The presence of a partial or total sclerotic phimosis
• The presence of balano-preputial synechiae

For women:
• The presence of a vulvar synechiae (which reduces the vulvovaginal orifice and causes continuous post coital lacerations)

For both sex:
• The presence of leucoplastic infiltrative areas

Many of these acquired anatomical and functional alterations can compete with the persistence of the disease and treatment failures. In addition, there is the evidence that some lesions of LS, often appear...
immediately intractable with only topical, systemic or micro infiltrative approaches, due to the mentioned alterations. In this context it could be very useful, to compose a multi-dimensional approach, which must include in order:

1. A conservative dermo-surgical approach, always associated by histological examination (even on dermatologic LS lesions deemed not treatable with medical therapy), which range in men, by the removal of leukoplakia or suspicious lesions of gland or prepuce; frenuloplasty; partial or total circumcision; in women: minor or major labia excision of suspicious lesions and vulvo-vaginal plastic due to a reduction of vulvo-vaginal orifice.

2. Topical therapy

3. Micro-infiltrative Therapy (rich-platelet-plasma, polydeoxyribonucleotide)

In our opinion, we sustain how this path should not be reversed, according to a common sense rule that should first:

a) Remove the anatomical functional impediments which potentially could delay or prohibits the functioning of medical therapies

b) Obtain the histological evaluation before any topical (ex. steroid, topical immunomodulators) application, in order to have a clear reading of histological preparations

c) Immediately exclude the existence of pre-neoplastic or neoplastic cell changes, which may require additional surgical approaches and consecutively shut out other therapies (ex. topical steroid/immunomodulators and/or micro-infiltrative approaches)

In our opinion, only after this approach, in the mentioned cases, is useful to proceed, with topical and/or micro-infiltrative therapy, that can’t constitute a unique medical approach, but only an adjuvant therapy.

The choice of topical therapy should, always fall back on a medium to high potential steroidal drug, or topical immunomodulators according to the last published guidelines about LS. Is also useful, to conduct a micro-infiltrative therapy, with PRP or with Polydeoxyribonucleotide, in order to contribute in tissue regenerative approach already affected by the disease. We use in all selected cases, Polydeoxyribonucleotide (PDRN) subdermal-infiltrations, following the guidelines of our protocol [8,9]. Thanks to this therapeutic triad, we have achieved in all our patients, significant (p<0.001) decrease of IGA and DLQI (Table 1) even in case of severe LS; these clinical results (Figure 1), were maintained at a distance of months from the suspension of the therapy.

Despite many therapeutic approaches proposed over the years, the definitive treatment of lichen sclerosus is still being codified. We believe that a viable therapy consists of a multidisciplinary approach that is based on proper clinical staging and histological diagnosis. We maintain that a valid therapeutic proposal is not confined to a drug, but to a therapeutic set and to a proper therapeutic approach, based on the type and the severity degree of the disease. In this context, through this preliminary study, we have highlighted the efficacy, tolerability, and safety profile demonstrated by a therapeutic dermatologic triad, which could be cited, (if further studies confirm these early data) as one of the effective management of lichen sclerosus.

References


Table 1. Values median (range) of IGA and DLQI scores before and after therapy in group A and group B; comparisons are evaluated using paired Wilcoxon signed-rank for paired data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IGA</td>
<td>Beforetherapy</td>
<td>Aftertherapy</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Beforetherapy</td>
<td>Aftertherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>6 (3–10)</td>
<td>2 (0–7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5 (1–9)</td>
<td>4 (2–6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLQI</td>
<td>Beforetherapy</td>
<td>Aftertherapy</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Beforetherapy</td>
<td>Aftertherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>15 (8–19)</td>
<td>7 (3–11)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>14 (8–19)</td>
<td>11 (6–16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Notice, the significant improvement of Lichen sclerosus signs after combined therapy (ex. A: pre-therapy; A1: post-therapy).
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