

Prevention of hepatitis B virus reactivation in lymphoma patients

Blanca Sanchez-Gonzalez^{1,2*}, Montserrat Garcia-Retortillo³, Teresa Murcia¹, Laia Martinez², Ricard Sola³, Francesc Garcia-Pallarols^{1,2} and Antonio Salar^{1,2}

¹Department of Hematology, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

²IMIM (Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute), Barcelona, Spain

³Liver Section, Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

We report a retrospective analysis of lymphoma patients with chronic HBV or resolved HBV treated with chemotherapy, immunotherapy or immunochemotherapy who followed our Institution guidelines to prevent HBV reactivation. The aim of our study was to retrospectively evaluate the management of patients with B-cell lymphoma and HBV infection receiving chemotherapy or/and rituximab, since the implementation of a consensus algorithm to prevent HBV reactivation in our centre, focusing on the adherence to the guidelines, HBV reactivation rate and patient outcome

Current guidelines for management of chronic HBV recommend routine antiviral HBV prophylaxis before chemotherapy and, particularly, with rituximab-containing therapies. In the real world, adherence to these guidelines is scarce. Moreover, there is little evidence-based consensus in the management of patients with resolved HBV infection.

Forty-five out of 227 (19.8%) B-cell lymphomas had HBV infection. They were categorized patients in three HBV risk groups: group A: active chronic HBV who received HBV treatment; group B: inactive carriers who received HBV prophylaxis; group C: resolved HBV assigned either to antiviral HBV prophylaxis if they received rituximab or to follow-up only if they received rituximab-free chemotherapy. The adherence to our algorithm was 93%. Twenty-five patients (63%) started antiviral prophylaxis or treatment. Two patients developed HBV reactivation and in both cases reactivation occurred within the first 6 months after finishing antiviral prophylaxis. In summary, In conclusion, our algorithm strategy efficiently prevents HBV reactivation in B-cell lymphoma patients receiving therapy from an area with high prevalence of HBV infection. Our approach is simple to use, allows a high adherence in the real world and is well-tolerated. We suggest that longer follow-up than actually recommended in current guidelines may be necessary to detect late HBV reactivations.

Abbreviations: DLBCL: diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; ETV: entecavir; FL: follicular lymphoma; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; HL: Hodgkin's lymphoma; HSCT: hematopoietic stem cells transplantation; IPI: International Prognostic Index; IQR: Interquartile Range; M: male; MCL: mantle-cell lymphoma; NP: no prophylaxis; R: rituximab; SD: standard deviation; TDF: tenofovir; 3TC: lamivudine.

Introduction

Chemotherapy-induced hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation is a well-recognized complication and is a potentially life-threatening condition in cancer patients with chronic HBV (hepatitis B surface antigen [HBsAg]-positive) [1]. Rituximab, an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody, has been associated with an increased risk of HBV reactivation in chronic HBV patients and even in those with resolved infection (HBsAg negative/hepatitis B core antibody [anti-HBc]-positive) [2], even though the reported frequency varies among different studies (44% for chronic HBV and 25% for resolved infection) [3,4].

Current guidelines for management of chronic HBV recommend routine antiviral HBV prophylaxis before chemotherapy and, particularly, with rituximab-containing therapies [5]. In the real world, adherence to these guidelines is scarce. Moreover, there is little evidence-based consensus in the management of patients with resolved HBV infection. The aim of our study was to retrospectively evaluate the management of patients with B-cell lymphoma and HBV infection

receiving chemotherapy or/and rituximab, since the implementation of a consensus algorithm to prevent HBV reactivation in our center, focusing on the adherence to the guidelines, HBV reactivation rate and patient outcome.

Patients and methods

Study design

From January 2007 to December 2015, all patients with lymphoma were screened for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis C virus (HCV) and HBV (HBsAg and anti-HBc) before therapy. In patients carrying any of these HBV markers, serum HBV DNA levels were tested and subsequently, were assigned in three groups. Group A: active chronic HBV who received HBV treatment; group B: inactive carriers who received HBV prophylaxis; group C: resolved HBV assigned either to antiviral HBV prophylaxis if they received rituximab or to follow-up only if they received rituximab-free chemotherapy. HBV antiviral treatment/prophylaxis types were lamivudine, entecavir

***Correspondence to:** Blanca Sanchez Gonzalez, MD, .Department of Hematology, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain, Tel: (034)93 248 3343; Fax: (034)93 248 3343; E-mail: 97894@parcdesalutmar.cat

Key words: hepatitis B virus reactivation, lymphoma, rituximab, chemotherapy

Received: July 04, 2019; **Accepted:** July 19, 2019; **Published:** July 22, 2019

or tenofovir. In the HBV antiviral prophylaxis/treatment group, therapy was initiated with 1 week before the first course of chemotherapy and continued until 6-12 months after completing therapy. The study was approved by the institutional board at the Parc de Salut Mar.

Definitions

HBV viral status definitions: Active chronic HBV infection: HBsAg positive, anti-HBc positive and HBV DNA >2000 IU/mL; inactive carriers: HBsAg positive, Anti-HBc positive, HBV DNA undetectable or <2000 IU/mL with normal transaminases; resolved HBV: HBsAg negative, anti-HBc positive, HBV DNA undetectable. HBV reactivation was defined as an increase in serum HBV DNA (≥ 1 log 10), regardless of liver biochemistry or HBsAg status. Delayed HBV reactivation was defined as HBV reactivation occurring at least three months after ending antiviral HBV prophylaxis. Hepatitis flare was defined as serum ALT level more than 100 IU/L and was attributed to HBV reactivation if it was preceded or accompanied by detectable DNA HBV or by the reappearance of HBsAg in serum in patients with resolved HBV infection.

Outcomes and Follow-up

All patients were monitored at baseline, every 3 months during therapy and until 24 months after finishing therapy. Assessments

performed included a liver biochemistry panel, serum HBV DNA, HBsAg and anti-HBs levels.

Statistical Methods

Statistical comparisons were made using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Cumulative HBV reactivation rate was calculated using Kaplan-Meier curves (SPSS v17.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL).

Results

Patient Disposition and Characteristics

Two hundred and twenty seven lymphoma patients received chemotherapy or immunochemotherapy, 63% of them received rituximab alone or in combination with chemotherapy. Forty five patients had some HBV test positive and 43 were enrolled onto our study. One patient was assigned to group A, 3 to group B and 39 to group C. Fourteen patients (6%) had coinfection with hepatitis C virus and 12 patients (5%) coinfection with HIV. HBV guideline adherence was 93%. Antiviral treatment/prophylaxis was administered in all patients in groups A and B and in 23 patients (70%) in the group C (13 underwent only follow-up) (Table 1). Three patients presented intolerance to tenofovir and were switched to lamivudine. None patient stopped antiviral prophylaxis because of related-adverse events.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Patients

Group B (n = 3)				Group C (n = 36)			
Variables		No. of Patients		Rituximab +/- Chemotherapy (n = 23)		Rituximab-free chemotherapy (n = 13)	
			%	No. of Patients	%	No. of Patients	%
Age (years)	Median	44.9		72.1		55.3	
	IQR	38.4 – 50		58 – 77		43.2 – 63.5	
Sex	Men	2	66.7	14	60.9	11	84.6
	Female	1	33.3	9	39.1	2	15.4
Disease	DLBCL	0	0	13	56.5	1	7.7
	FL	0	0	4	17.4	0	0
	MCL	0	0	1	4.3	0	0
	HL	1	33.3	0	0	9	69.2
	Other*	1	66.7	5	21.8	3	23.1
IPI	Low Risk	1	33.3	6	26.1	7	53.8
	Low-intermediate Risk	2	66.7	6	26.1	5	38.5
	High-intermediate Risk	0	0	8	34.8	1	7.7
	High Risk	0	0	3	13	0	0
Coinfection	HCV	1	33.3	4	17.4	0	0
	HIV	0	0	4	17.4	1	7.7
Baseline ALT (U/L)	Mean	17.3		18.1		19.2	
	SD	6.5		11.4		6.8	
Prophylaxis Drugs	No prophylaxis	0	0	5	21.7	10	76.9
	ETV	1	33.3	7	30.4	0	0
	TDF	2	66.7	8	34.8	2	15.4
	3TC	0	0	3	13	1	7.7
Duration of prophylaxis treatment (months)	Median	42.6		13.4		7.4	
	IQR	13 – 46.3		9.6 – 17.4		5.3 – 21.6	
Duration of follow-up (months)	Median	42.6		17.9		13.9	
	IQR	16.9 – 46.3		9.7 – 26.8		5.5 – 21.8	
Status at the end of the study	Follow-up	3	100	14	60.9	2	15.4
	Discharge	0	0	5	21.7	8	61.5
	Death of any cause	0	0	0	0	3	23.1
	Lose of follow up	0	0	3	13	0	0

Abbreviations: DLBCL: diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; ETV: entecavir; FL: follicular lymphoma; HCV: hepatitis C virus; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; HL: Hodgkin's lymphoma; IQR: Interquartile Range; IPI: International Prognostic Index; MCL: mantle-cell lymphoma; SD: standard deviation; TDF: tenofovir; 3TC: lamivudine.

*Other: mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma, small lymphocytic lymphoma.

Table 2. Details of the two Patients with HBV Reactivation

No.	Sex	Age (y)	Diagnosis	Steroids	R	Number R infusions	Prior chemotherapies	At baseline				At diagnosis of HBV Reactivation								
								HSCT	HBsAg	Anti-HBs	HBV DNA (IU/ml)	ALT (U/L)	Prophylactic agent	Duration of antiviral HBV prophylaxis	Time of reactivation	HBsAg	HBV DNA (IU/ml)	Peak ALT (U/L)	Rescue Agent	Outcome of HBV reactivation
1	M	55	Spleen marginal zone lymphoma ^a	No	Yes	4	0	no	+	+	Undetectable	17	TDF	13 months	3 months after finish antiviral HBV prophylaxis	+	61,404	65	TDF	Favorable
2	M	71	Follicular lymphoma ^a	Yes	Yes	3	1	No	-	+	Undetectable	28	TDF	15 months	6 months after finish antiviral HBV prophylaxis	-	128	23	TDF	Favorable

ETV: entecavir; HBV: hepatitis B virus; HSCT: hematopoietic stem cells transplantation; M: male; NP: no prophylaxis; R: rituximab; TDF: tenofovir

Incidence of HBV Reactivation

With a median follow-up of 21 months, 2 patients developed HBV reactivation during lymphoma treatment (one from group B and one from group C). Both patients received rituximab based-treatment and both developed HBV reactivation within the first 6 months after finishing antiviral HBV prophylaxis (delayed HBV reactivation), none of them had hepatitis flare and the outcome was favourable (Table 2). Cumulative incidence of HBV reactivation at 12 and 24 months were 0% and 9%, respectively.

Discussion

Chronic HBV infection is a worldwide public health problem. Spain is a country with intermediate prevalence (2-8% HbsAg positive), as others Mediterranean countries, although recent studies have showed lower rates (0.32-0.37% HbsAg positive) [6]. We have observed a prevalence of anti-HBc of 14% in patients with B-cell lymphoma, which is higher than the prevalence seen in the general population (8.7%) [6]. Other recent studies have also reported a high prevalence of anti-HBc in Asian patients with lymphoma (26.5% and 44.2%) [3-4]. The association between HBV infection and B-cell lymphomas is not as well established as the etiopathogenic role of HCV in some lymphoproliferative conditions. However, it has recently been described that patients with HBV have almost double the risk of developing a non-Hodgkin lymphoma [7]. The high prevalence of anti-HBc positive in our patients with B-cell lymphoma could be explained by this hypothesis and also by the high-risk life-style characteristics of part of the referral population of our center.

Standard treatment of CD20+ B-cell lymphoma patients includes an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody, such as rituximab, that increases the risk of reactivation of HBV [2]. Given the high prevalence of HBV infection in patients with lymphoma, there is a need to consider management strategies for these patients during treatment. In order to avoid HBV reactivations, several studies have demonstrated the usefulness of HBV antiviral prophylaxis for patients who receive treatment with rituximab or other anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies [8,9]. Although current guidelines for management of chronic HBV recommend routine antiviral prophylaxis, but there is little evidence-based consensus in resolved HBV. In our study 10% of the patients had active chronic HBV or were inactive carriers and all of them received HBV antiviral drugs previous therapy. The remaining 90% patients had resolved HBV and 58% of them initiated prophylaxis with tenofovir or entecavir. Only three cases had intolerance to tenofovir and they were switched to lamivudine, a treatment which is associated with higher rate of HBV resistance development but that might be a reasonable option for intolerant cases.

We have observed a high adherence (93%) of our algorithm, probably related to its easy applicability, the motivation of the multidisciplinary research team and their awareness of the importance of HBV reactivation prevention. Moreover, our HBV reactivation rate was only 5%, a figure which is lower than that described in previous studies (17.9%) in patients without HBV antiviral prophylaxis [9]. Therefore, our algorithm for the management of HBV, together with its high compliance, translates into an evident clinical benefit in terms of reduction of the reactivation rate and its potential severe complications. Another remarkable finding of our study was the good safety profile of antiviral drugs in patients receiving cytostatic treatment.

Another relevant finding was that our two cases with delayed HBV reactivation were detected at 3 and 6 months after ending antiviral

prophylaxis. Current recommendations in the consensus guidelines for the management of these patients suggest only 12 months of antiviral prophylaxis after the end of the antineoplastic treatment [5]. A recent study showed that the median time from the end of HBV prophylaxis to reactivation was 2.9 months [10]. This observation and our experience suggest that only 12 months of prophylaxis might be insufficient and do not confer adequate HBV protection, especially in the context of immunosuppressed patients by their disease and/or their treatments.

The limitations of our study include sample size and, fortunately, the low number of reactivations. Both circumstances prevented analysis of risk factors involved in the HBV reactivation. In addition, inclusion of different subtypes of B-cell lymphomas, lymphomas at different stages and several schedules of therapy could have influenced the risk of HBV reactivation as well as the need for receiving prophylaxis.

In conclusion, our algorithm strategy efficiently prevents HBV reactivation in B-cell lymphoma patients receiving therapy from an area with high prevalence of HBV infection. Our approach is simple to use, allows a high adherence in the real world and is well-tolerated. We suggest that longer follow-up than actually recommended in current guidelines may be necessary to detect late HBV reactivations. Consequently, larger validation studies are needed to confirm our data and to establish risk factors for HBV reactivation, as well as the optimal antiviral prophylaxis, especially in the context of new available immunomodulatory agents for lymphoma treatment.

Acknowledgments

None

Funding

This study has not been funded.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

BSG, MGR, TR, EG, RS, and AS interpreted the study data and contributed to writing the manuscript. BSG, FGP, TR and AS performed the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted in full conformance with the ICH E6 Guideline for Good Clinical Practice and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

It was approved by the institutional review boards or ethics committees of the study sites.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Blanca Sanchez-Gonzalez reports noninstitutional research funding and is a consultant and/or speaker bureau for Novartis, Amgen, Alexion, Gilead and Shire.

Antonio Salar reports institutional research funding from Roche, and is a consultant and/or speaker bureau for Roche, Gilead, Janssen and Celgene.

The others co-authors declare no conflict of interest

References

- Liang R, Lau GK, Kwong YL (1999) Chemotherapy and bone marrow transplantation for cancer patients who are also chronic hepatitis B carriers: a review of the problem. *J Clin Oncol* 17: 394-398. [[Crossref](#)]
- Evens AM, Jovanovic BD, Su YC, Raisch DW, Ganger D, et al. (2011) Rituximab-associated hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation in lymphoproliferative diseases: meta-analysis and examination of FDA safety reports. *Ann Oncol* 22: 1170-1180.
- Yeo W, Chan TC, Leung NW, Lam WY, Mo FK, et al. (2009) Hepatitis B virus reactivation in lymphoma patients with prior resolved hepatitis B undergoing anticancer therapy with or without rituximab. *J Clin Oncol* 27: 605-611.
- Seto WK, Chan TS, Hwang YY, Wong DK, Fung J, et al. (2014) Hepatitis B reactivation in patients with previous hepatitis B virus exposure undergoing rituximab-containing chemotherapy for lymphoma: a prospective study. *J Clin Oncol* 32: 3736-43.
- EASL (2012) European Association for The Study Of The Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines: Management of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol* 57: 167-185.
- Schweitzer A, Horn J, Mikolajczyk RT, Krause G, Ott JJ (2015) Estimations of worldwide prevalence of chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a systematic review of data published between 1965 and 2013. *Lancet* 386: 1546-1555.
- Engels EA, Cho ER, Jee SH (2010) Hepatitis B virus infection and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in South Korea: a cohort study. *Lancet Oncol* 11: 827-834.
- Huang YH, Hsiao LT, Hong YC, Chiou TJ, Yu YB, et al. (2013) Randomized controlled trial of entecavir prophylaxis for rituximab-associated hepatitis B virus reactivation in patients with lymphoma and resolved hepatitis B. *J Clin Oncol* 31: 2765-2772.
- Huang H, Li X, Zhu J, Ye S, Zhang H (2014) Entecavir vs lamivudine for prevention of hepatitis B virus reactivation among patients with untreated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma receiving R-CHOP chemotherapy: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 312: 2521-2530. [[Crossref](#)]
- Liu WP, Wang XP, Zheng W, Ping LY, Zhang C, et al. (2016) Hepatitis B virus reactivation after withdrawal of prophylactic antiviral therapy in patients with diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma* 57: 1355-1362.