Documented parameters by cytomorphology	Documented parameters by Histopathology		
Granulopoiesis			
 Toxic/Dysplastic granulation Hypogranularity of cytoplasm Pseudopelger Erythropoiesis 	[—] Left-shifted [—] Maturation disorder		
-Left-shift -Erythroblasts with vacuolated cytoplasm -Megaloblastoid -Irregular nuclei -Nuclear lobulation -Bi-/Multinucleated cells -Fragmentation of nuclei -Internuclear bridges -Pyknosis of nuclei -Erythrons -Gigantism	-Left-shift -Maturation disorder -Megaloblastoid -Irregular nuclei -Bi-/Multinucleated cells		
Megakaryopoiesis			
-Microkaryocytes -Multiple separate nuclei -Poor granulation of cytoplasm -Bizarre nuclear shape -Giant forms	 Microkaryocytes Multiple separate nuclei Maturation disorder Hyperchromatic nuclei Hyperlobated nuclei Monolobated nuclei Hypolobated nuclei Cluster of megakaryocytes Giant forms Abnormal localization 		
Special Stains / Immunohistochemistry			
 Non-specific Esterase Iron (Berliner Blau) Myeloperoxidase 	-CD3 -CD20 -CD34 -CD42b -CD68 -CD71	-CD117 -CD138 -Iron (Berliner Blau) -Myeloperoxidase -Gomori	

Table S1. Detailed overview on the parameters used for the systematic assessment by both diagnostic techniques

Table S2A. Dysplasia in in the different hematopoietic lineages detected by cytomorphology and histopathology

	Number of cases (%)		
	Granulopoiesis	Erythropoiesis	Megakaryopoiesis
Cytomorphology	· · · ·		
Evaluable cases	82	82	65
Dysplasia ≥ 10%	75/82 (91.5%)	82/82 (100.0%)	53/65 (81.5%)
Dysplasia ≥ 10% <30% Dysplasia ≥ 30% Dysplasia ≥ 60%	4/82 (4.9%) 35/82 (42.7%) 36/82 (43.9%)	1/82 (1.2%) 31/82 (37.8%) 50/82 (61.0%)	9/65 (13.8%) 20/65 (30.8%) 24/65 (36.9%)
Histopathology	!		
Evaluable cases	86	79	82
Maturation disorder	71/86 (82.6%)	77/79 (97.5%)	80/82 (97.6%)
Mild maturation disorder Severe maturation disorder	55/86 (64.0%) 16/86 (18.6%)	49/79 (62.0%) 28/79 (35.4%)	40/82 (48.8%) 40/82 (48.8%)
Correlation of both methods Results of χ^2 -Test	χ ² (1)=1.176, p=0.278	Not evaluable	χ ² (1)=1.587, p=0.208

Parameter	Cytomorphology N (%)	Histopathology N (%)	
Overall cellularity	83 (100.0%)	90 (100.0%)	
Normal (age-adjusted)	10 (12.0%)	24 (26.7%)	
Increased	66 (79.5%)	58 (64.4%)	
Reduced	7 (8.4%)	8 (8.9%)	
Correlation of both methods	$\chi^2(4)=7.942$, p=0.094	$\chi^{2}(4)=7.942, p=0.094$	
Granulopoiesis	84 (100.0%)	87 (100.0%)	
Normal (age-adjusted)	19 (22.6%)	24 (27.6%)	
Increased	43 (51.2%)	39 (44.8%)	
Reduced	22 (26.2%)	24 (27.6%)	
Correlation of both methods	$\chi^{2}(4)=13.252, p=0.010$		
Erythropoiesis	85 (100.0%)	88 (100.0%)	
Normal (age-adjusted)	29 (34.1%)	20 (22.7%)	
Increased	30 (35.3%)	28 (31.8%)	
Reduced	26 (30.6%)	40 (45.5%)	
Correlation of both methods	$\chi^2(4)=26.764, p<0.001$		
Megakaryopoiesis	82 (100.0%)	86 (100.0%)	
Normal (age-adjusted)	23 (28.0 %)	24 (27.9%)	
Increased	29 (35.4%)	37 (43.0%)	
Reduced	30 (36.6%)	25 (29.1%)	
Correlation of both methods	$\chi^2(4)=36.180, p<0.001$		

Table S2B. Overall cellularity and cellularity of the distinct hematopoietic lineages as documented by cytomorphology and histopathology

Table S3. Results of molecular analysis for selected genes are given either by hotspots analysis and/or by NGS panel sequencing

Detected molecular mutations		
ACD	N=1/27	
ASXL1	N=1/27	
CEBPA	N=1/27	
DNMT3A	N=2/27	
ETV6	N=1/27	
EVII	N=1/27	
FLT3	N=2/27	
JAK2V617F	N=1/27	
МЕСОМ	N=1/27	
MLL (=KMT2A)	N=3/27	
NPMI	N=1/27	
NRAS	N=3/27	
RTELI	N=1/27	
RUNXI	N=5/27	
SRSF2	N=1/27	
TERC	N=1/27	
U2AFI	N=1/27	
TP53 ¹		
analysed	N=8	
positive	N=2	

Analyses were performed by different laboratories on a physician-driven basis. Molecular analyses were available in 39 patients from the cohort (in 43.4% of all patients). Analysis of *TP53* mutations was performed in 8 patients, ¹*TP53* analysis only in MDS

Table S4. Detailed information of 18 cases with a discrepant final diagnosis by CM and HP

Age ¹ , Gender ²	Cytomorphology	Histopathology
69, m	RCMD	reactive
See Figure 1a	Slight hypercellularity Amount of blasts 4% Distinct dysplastic GP Increased, dysplastic EP Dysplastic MP	60% cellularity CD34+ blasts <5% Reactive GP No dysplasia in EP and MP
	NKT ³ , NGS Panel for AML/MDS ⁴ : no mutation	
79, f	RCMD	reactive
	Hypercellularity Amount of blasts 2% Dysplastic GP Dysplastic and left-shifted EP Decreased MP	40% cellularity Only slightly dysplastic GP, EP, MP Distinct reactive changes
	NKT ³ , no molecular analysis performed, pancytopenia	
75, m	RAEB-1	RCMD

	Hypercellularity	90% cellularity
	Amount of blasts 7%	CD34+ blasts <5%
	Increased, dysplastic, left-shifted GP	CD68+ <5%
See Figure 1b	Dysplastic, slightly left-shifted EP	Distinct dysplastic GP
	Increased, dysplastic, left-shifted MP	Macrocytic EP
		Normal MP
	NKT ³ , no molecular analysis performed, pancytopenia	
74, m	RAEB-1	RCMD
,	Hypercellularity	70% cellularity
	Amount of blasts 6%	CD34+ blasts <5%
	Increased, slightly dysplastic GP	Slightly increased GP
	Decreased, dysplastic, left-shifted EP	Distinct decreased, dysplastic EP
	Increased, slightly left-shifted MP	Slightly increased, dysplastic MP
	NKT3, NGS Panel for AML/MDS4: no mutation	
74, f	RAEB-1	RCMD
	Hypercellularity	90% cellularity
	Amount of blasts 5%	CD34+ blasts <5%
	Distinct increased, dysplastic GP	Distinct increased, slightly dysplastic, left-shifted GP
	Increased, dysplastic EP, MP	Decreased, macrocytic EP
		Dysplastic MP
	NKT ³ , PCR negative for: <i>AML1-ETO</i> , <i>CBFβ-MYH11</i> , <i>FLT</i> .	3-ITD, PML-RARa, EVI, Sanger sequencing negative for: CEBPA, NPM1; pancytopenia
73, m	RAEB-1	RCMD
	Hypercellularity	50% cellularity
	Amount of blasts 5%	CD34+ blasts slightly <5%
	Decreased, dysplastic GP	CD117+ 5%
	Increased, dysplastic GP, MP	Dysplastic, left-shifted GP, EP
		Increased, distinct dysplastic MP
	NKT ³ , no molecular analysis performed, pancytopenia	
74, f	RAEB-1	RCMD
	Normocellularity	Insufficient material quality
	Amount of blasts 6%	50% cellularity
	Increased, dysplastic GP, EP, MP	Amount of blasts <5%
		Increased, slightly left-shifted GP Decreased, slightly dysplastic EP
		Slightly increased MP
	del(7q), NRAS mutation (Sanger sequencing)	
67, f	RAEB-1	s-AML
07,1	Hypercellularity	98% cellularity
	Amount of blasts 5%	CD34+ blasts 20%
	Decreased, dysplastic GP	CD68/CD117 blasts partial positivity
	Increased, dysplastic EP	Increased, left-shifted GP
	Limited quality of MP	Decreased EP, MP
	del(20q), clinically driven molecular analysis: no mutation,	pancytopenia
82, f	RAEB-1	suspected MDS
	Hypercellularity	60% cellularity
	Amount of blasts 5%	CD34+ blasts slightly <5%
	Dysplastic GP	Reactive GP
	Increased, dysplastic EP	Increased EP, MP
	Slightly dysplastic MP	
	No cytogenetics and no molecular analysis performed, pane	ytopenia
77, m	RAEB-2	s-AML
	Insufficient material quality	
	Hypercellularity	80% cellularity
	Amount of blasts 16%	CD34+ blasts 30%
	Increased, dysplastic GP	CD68 blasts partial positivity
	Dysplastic EP	Increased, dysplastic, left-shifted GP
	Reduced, dysplastic MP	Decreased EP, MP
	Monocytes increased	
	NKT ³ , clinically driven molecular analysis: no mutation, pa	
69, f	CMML-1	suspected MDS
	Hypercellularity	70% cellularity
	Amount of blasts 3%	CD34+ blasts <5%
	Increased, dysplastic GP	CD117+ blasts <5%
	Dysplastic EP, MP	CD68 physiological
	Increased Monocytes (EST not available)	Increased GP
		Slightly dysplastic EP, MP
	NKT ³ , BCR-ABL1, JAK2V617F, CALR, MPL negative, Lc ⁵	
76, f	CMML-2	RAEB-2

	Hypercellularity Amount of blasts 16% Increased, reactive GP Dysplastic EP, MP Increased Monocytes (EST not available)	Insufficient material quality 70% cellularity CD34+ blasts 15%, focal up to 20% CD68 not available Increased GP Decreased, macrocytic EP Dysplastic, distinct left-shifted MP
	Complex KYT ⁶ , <i>BCR-ABL</i> and <i>JAK2</i> V617F negative, bicyto	penia
70, f	CMML-2	suspected MDS
See Figure 1c	Hypercellularity Amount of blasts 11% Increased, dysplastic GP Dysplastic EP Increased MP Monocytes increased (EST not available) NKT ³ , BCR-ABL1 and JAK2V617F negative	55% cellularity CD34+ blasts <5% CD68 without abnormality Increased, dysplastic, slightly left-shifted GP Normal EP Slightly dysplastic MP
59, m	CMML-2	RAEB-2
	Hypercellularity Amount of blasts 18% Increased, dysplastic, left-shifted GP Dysplastic EP, MP EST positivity 20% POX defect	55% cellularity CD34+ blasts 15% Increased, dysplastic, left-shifted GP Dysplastic EP, MP
	del(7q), RUNXI mutation (Sanger sequencing), bicytopenia	
71, m	s-AML	CMML-1
	Hypercellularity Amount of blasts 30% Increased, dysplastic GP Reduced, dysplastic EP Reduced MP EST positivity 80% Monocytes increased (EST not available)	Insufficient material quality 90% cellularity CD34+ blasts <5% CD117+ >5% CD68 blasts partial positivity Increased GP Decreased, slightly left-shifted EP Increased, dysplastic MP
	der(19),+8, no molecular analysis performed	
76, f	RARS-T	RAEB-1
	Hypercellularity Amount of blasts 3% Ringsideroblasts >15% Dysplastic GP Dysplastic, left-shifted EP Increased, dysplastic MP	60% cellularity CD34+ blasts 5%, focal 9% Normal maturation of GP Dysplastic, slightly left-shifted EP Increased, dysplastic MP
	NKT ³ , PCR negative for: <i>JAK2</i> V617F, <i>CALR</i> , <i>MPL</i> , plt ⁷ 562	
78, f	suspected MDS Insufficient material quality Evidence of blasts Existing GP and EP Absent MP Complex KT ⁶ , TP53 mutation (Sanger sequencing), pancytop	RAEB-1 60% cellularity CD34+ blasts 5% Dysplastic GP Decreased, distinct dysplastic EP Increased, distinct dysplastic MP
69, m	Suspected MDS	s-AML
	Insufficient material quality Amount of blasts cannot be evaluated Dysplastic GP	75% cellularity Amount of blasts 25% CD34+ blasts 6% CD117+ <5% Increased GP Increased, dysplastic EP Increased, dysplastic, left-shifted MP
	NKT ³ , clinically driven molecular analysis: no mutation	

¹Age at first diagnosis; ²f=female, m=male; ³NKT=normal karyotype; ⁴AML/MDS mutation panel: *ASXL1, CBL, CEBPA, DNMT3A, ETV6, EZH2, GATA2, IDH1, IDH2, JAK2, KRAS, NRAS, RUNX1, SETBP1, SF3B1, SRSF2, TET2, TP53, U2AF, ZRSR2*; ⁵Lc=leukocytes; ⁶KT=karyotype; ⁷Plt=platelets

Copyright: ©2019 Engelbrecht L. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.