Comparison of exposure levels of carotid artery in T1-2 glottic cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy with different modalities: A simulation-based dosimetric study
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Abstract

Limiting the unwanted exposure level of neighboring healthy tissues including carotid artery is important with respect to carotid artery atherosclerosis risk, which could lead to cerebrovascular events, in patients undergoing radiotherapy for neck and head malignancies. The aim of this study was to compare carotid artery irradiation exposure levels in T1-2 glottic cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy with different modalities namely three dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3DCRT–offset laterals field (OLF), three field (3F)), intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) and intensity-modulated arc therapy (IMAT). The radiation exposure level of carotid artery was determined by use of InDigital Imaging and Communications in Medicine radiotherapy (DICOM-RT) datasets. This simulation-based dosimetric analysis was performed by use of DICOM-RT data for seven T1-2 glottic squamous cell carcinoma patients who received conventional 66Gy dose in 33 fractions, and levels of carotid exposure for 3DCRT, IMRT and IMAT techniques were calculated for each patient. The calculations involved PTV D95, PTV Dmax, left and right carotid artery doses V35, V50 and V63Gy, conformity index (CI), homogeneity index (HI) and monitor unit (MU) for each plan. Effective target volume was ensured with all the techniques tested. IMAT plan yielded the lowest carotid artery exposure as values of V35, V50 and V63 for carotid artery were lowest in IMAT plans. PTV V95, HI values of IMRT plans were significantly better. CI values for 3DCRT-3F, IMRT and IMAT plans were not significantly different, all being significantly lower than 3DCRT–OLF. The results of this pilot study indicates that IMAT plan provides the lowest risk of carotid artery exposure and thereby related complications in treatment of T1-2 glottic cancers with radiotherapy.

Introduction

Risk of having stroke is higher in the patients that received local RT for head and neck cancers [1]. However, the mechanisms underlying this condition are not clear; atherosclerosis of the veins in the RT region plays a crucial role. This mechanism plays an important role especially in addiction of nicotine.

Early stage T1-T2N0M0 glottic cancers are highly curable when they are treated with RT [2,3]. Because of lower nodal involvement rates elective nodal irradiation is not necessary. Therefore acute and late toxicities are lower [4]. RT related vascular toxicities usually observed after 10 years. Prevalence of stroke and cerebrovascular accident because of RT to the neck region is between 2.5-12% [5,6]. It’s known that conventional irradiation with parallel-opposed fields especially increases carotid artery doses [7]. Increased doses causes intima-media thickening in arteries and this leads to arteriosclerosis. Results of Color Doppler tests before RT and one year after RT have shown that there is a significant luminal narrowing in veins [8].

In today’s world conformal radiotherapy is being used rather than parallel-opposed fields but intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) is widely used treatment modality among all. By using treatment plans that used IMRT technique sharp dose drop can be achieved between glottic clinical target volume and carotid artery. More recently, three reports similarly observed a better target coverage and significant dose reduction to the carotid arteries compared to conventional techniques, suggesting that IMRT may decrease the rate of carotid atherogenesis in the future [3,9,10].

Identification of RT technique that gives the lowest carotid artery doses important in decreasing the risk of RT caused strokes and cerebrovascular events especially in patients with nicotine addiction.

In the present study, we compared the dosimetric results of IMAT, which delivers highly conformal IMRT plans in a short time, to three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3DCRT–OLF, 3F) and classic IMRT techniques. We compared dosimetric features of four techniques in terms of target coverage, exposure to the carotid artery, conformity index (CI), homogeneity index (HI).

Patients and methods

In our study, we used the computed tomography (CT) images of 7 early staged larynx cancer (T1-2 N0) patients who had irradiation
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with conventional 3DCRT. 2.5 cm sliced thickness actual treatment images which was acquired with GE Optima CT-Simulator has been transferred into Eclipse treatment system. All target volumes and organs at risk (OAR) volumes have been defined.

In order to use these data in this study ethic board permission from the same university has been taken.

**Volume definitions**

Clinical target volume (CTV) was contoured by the same physician to encompass the thyroid with a 5-mm margin anteriorly, cricoids cartilages, arytenoid cartilage, false vocal cords, anterior and posterior commissures, true vocal cords and 1-1.5 cm of subglottis; the borders were extended to the hyoid bone superiorly and to the bottom of the cricoids inferiorly. Each CTV was modified to encompass pre-defined gross tumor volume. CTV was truncated within 3 mm of the skin surface to avoid high skin dose in patients without anterior commissure involvement. Planning target volumes (PTV) was created with giving 5 mm margin around the CTV. The spinal cord and carotid arteries were defined as the critical structures. Organ at risk (OAR) volumes of spinal cord and carotid arteries were delineated to exceed PTV by 1 cm on superior and inferior directions.

**Treatment planning and prescription dose**

For each 7 patients 4 different plan (3DCRT-OLF, 3F, IMRT and IMAT) and in total 28 new planning have been done. In all treatment techniques 66Gy (2Gy/fraction) treatment modality has been used. Six MV photon beams and a dynamic multi-leaf collimator (DHX equipped with a Millenium-120 MLC, spatial resolution of 5 mm at the isocenter) were used for each plan. The doses for the CTV, left and right carotid arteries and spinal cord and monitor units (MU) were calculated for each plan.

Dose volume histograms (DVH) were used in dose comparison. Dmean (mean dose), Dmax (maximum dose), V95: 62.7Gy, conformity index (CI) and homogeneity index (HI) values which belong to PTV have been used in planning comparisons. Threshold dose for intima-media layer of carotid artery was determined as >35-50 Gy [11]. For that reason in planning comparisons 35 Gy, 50 Gy and 63Gy reference range and V35 (percentage of volume receiving 35 Gy), V50 (percentage of volume receiving 50 Gy) and V63 (percentage of volume receiving 63 Gy). Dmean, Dmax values has been used. All plans were normalized so that >95% of the CTV received 100% of the prescription dose.

**3DCRT planning:** 2 plans that uses Opposed laterals field (OLF) and three field plan (3F) have been done and for OLF 90° and 270° gantry angles have been used. In order to obtain dose homogeneity in treatment fields wedge has been used with collimation. Also in 3F planning 0°, 90° and 270° gantry angles have been used and in order to obtain dose homogeneity wedges have been used. Full dose (66Gy) has been given to 95% of the PTV volume.

**IMRT planning:** 5 field IMRT plan has been used in all 5 patients. The IMRT plan set used five non-equally spaced beams, which were isocentrically centered on the CTV (0°, 51°, 102°, 255° and 306°). In optimization process a new PTV has been defined by giving 1mm safety margin to PTV. Full dose (66Gy) has been given to 95% of the PTV volume. Tried to be sure that critical organs were within tolerance doses. All beams were collimated to minimize exposure to the carotid arteries. The dose homogeneity goal was <110% within the CTV

**IMAT planning:** In IMAT plans P 35° collimation between 182-178, 178-182, 263-95 gantry angles with 3 field arcs has been used. 6 MV photon energy has been used. IMAT treatment fields two 35° arcs have been divided into 177 control points and 168° arc have been divided into 129 control points. For each control point beam range has been defined with changes in multi leaf collimator and gantry angles. Dose rate was between 0 and 600MU/min and gantry rotation was between 0°/S and 4,8°/S. In order to minimize tongue and groove effect, 35° rotation to the collimator was given during treatment. After many plans that uses one, double and 2.5 arcs best plan to use was 2.5 arc IMAT. In order to protect normal tissues a new volume 3mm outside of PTV was defined. Also a new volume has been created for critical organs, which were in PTV volume. During optimization process more protection has been ensured for the field 3mm outside of PTV. By this way maximum protection has been done for critical organs in the field. After first optimization process undesirable dose areas, exceeds in maximum doses and cold spots in PTV have been defined as new volumes. These volumes have been used in the second optimization process in order to obtain homogeneous dose distribution and better critical organ dose rates.

**Plan evaluation**

Plans were compared in terms of PTV coverage (D95= dose defined to 95% of the PTV), maximum point dose within the PTV (Dmax=maximum point dose in one point), total MU, CI, HI and carotid artery dose.

**The CI of the PTV was defined as:**

\[
CI = \frac{V_{PTV}}{V_{TV}\times TVP^2}
\]

**VPTV:** Volume of PTV, **VTV:** treatment volume of prescribed isodose lines, **TVPV2:** volume of PTV within VTV

**The HI of the PTV was defined as:**

\[
HI = D_{5\%}/D_{95\%}
\]

D5%; minimum dose in 5% of the Planning Target Volume (PTV), D95%; minimum dose in 95% of the PTV

**Statistical analysis**

ANOVA, post hoc Tukey test for parametrically distributed data was used to compare the results between IMRT, VMAT and 3DCRT. All statistical tests were two-sided, with a threshold for statistical significance of P<0.05. Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS version 13.

**Results**

Dose-volume data for the PTV’s are given in Table 1. The calculated D95 values for each of the 4 techniques ranged between 63.95 Gy and 66.2 Gy. Best value has been obtained from IMRT plan and this was statistically significant (p=0.001). Dmax for the 10 patients and 4 plans, ranged between 70.90 Gy and 71.70 Gy for all plans. No difference was detected between 4 techniques (p=0.08). Dmax values for IMRT and IMAT techniques were significantly higher than 3DCRT plans (p=0.008).

Dose-volume parameters for left and right carotid arteries for each treatment techniques are given in table 2. Carotid arteries mean dose, V35 and V50 were significantly high for 3DCRT-OLF and 3DCRT-3F plans compared to IMRT and IMAT plans. Lowest dose values obtained from IMAT plans and this was statistically significant (p=0.001). Spinal cord maximum point median dose was 4.6 ± 2.5 Gy (range: 2.29-10.21Gy) for 3DCRT-OLF plans. For IMRT
and IMAT plans, respectively, spinal cord maximum doses were 41.4 ± 5.9 and 44.24 ± 1.8, which were significantly higher than 3DCRT-OFL and 3DCRT-3F plans (Table 2). However, all values were below the critical clinical threshold dose of 45 Gy.

The calculated MU per fraction values for each technique are given in Table 1. Among all plans, the highest MU was obtained for the IMAT plan. Mean MU values for IMRT and IMAT plans were 705 ± 0.9 and 915 ± 0.9 MU/min, respectively. Mean MU values for 3DCRT-OFL and 3DCRT-3F plans were 243 ± 0.02 and 242 ± 0.08 MU/min, respectively. The MU was significantly lower with than IMRT with IMAT. Highest MU has been obtained in IMAT plan and lowest MU has been obtained from 3DCRT-OFL (p=0.001).

Best HI values were obtained from IMRT but this was statistically significant (Table 1). The HI values ranged between 1.08 and 1.06 and this was significantly different between the 3 plans (p=0.01). There was no difference between IMRT and IMAT in terms of CI. Highest CI has been obtained from 3DCRT-OFL as 1.9 and lowest from IMAT as 1.3. These values were significantly different between the plans of 3DCRT and IMRT and IMAT (Table 1, p=0.001).

**Discussion**

Radiotherapy is one of the treatment modality among many treatment options in early staged glottic laryngeal cancer. RT is the most efficient treatment option in terms of larynx protection. For many decades parallel opposed fields have been used for treatment but in recent years IMRT technique has been started to use in order to minimize permanent side effects like xerostomia, better normal tissue protection and maximum coverage for target volumes [2,12]. In our study comparing 3DCRT with IMAT and IMRT techniques, latter ones reveals better PTV coverage. A recent editorial called attention to a high number of patients requiring salvage laryngectomy after IMRT failure in early stage glottic cancer. The authors concluded that IMRT has little potential to provide significant benefits for patients and warned that its use may decrease local control rates [12].

Carotid artery doses were lower with IMRT [3]. Many studies has mentioned hazardous dose response value instead of carotid artery threshold dose. Martin et al. [11] suggested that thickness intima-media was statistically meaningful in ≥ 35-50 Gy. For this reason chosen reference dose-volume parameters for both carotids fractional volume identified as V35 and V50. In our study best V35 and V50 values obtained from IMAT compared to 3DCRT and IMRT. These values in our study were higher than many studies. Identifying PTV volumes as CTV + 0.5mm in PTV dose calculations might be the reason for this. Rosenthal et al. [3] showed that it is possible to create sharp dose gradients between target volumes and carotid arteries with simulated IMRT plans. Also, they suggested that lowering carotid artery doses are necessary especially for young patients with carotid artery pathology. Chera et al. [9] compared radiation doses to carotid arteries among various radiotherapy techniques for treatment of early stage carcinoma of the glottis. They concluded that IMRT can reduce the dose to carotid arteries. However, they warned that the potential advantage of reducing carotid dose outweighs the risk of tumor recurrence due to contouring errors, organ motion and the risk of complications from dose heterogeneity.

There are few studies in the literature that investigates the place of IMAT technique in carotid artery protection [13,14]. A shorter treatment time may reduce the risk of target under-dosage due to organ motion might be the reason of this. Atalar et al. [15] indicated that shortest treatment duration has been obtained from IMAT technique and lowest carotid artery dose rated has been obtained from IMAT technique. A shorter treatment time may reduce the risk of target under-dosage due to organ motion might be the reason of this. Atalar et al. [15] indicated that shortest treatment duration has been obtained from IMAT technique and lowest carotid artery dose rated has been obtained from IMAT technique. In our study we also observed that carotid artery doses were lowest in IMAT plans compared with 3DCRT and IMRT. IMRT was given the best V35, V50, V63 values as 1.9Gy, 1.9 Gy, 0.4 Gy. Even though short treatment duration with IMRT compromises with target volume movement, this can be avoided by short duration swallow command.

---

**Table 1.** Dosimetric parameters for target volume for all plans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>3DCRT-OFL</th>
<th>3DCRT-3F</th>
<th>IMRT</th>
<th>IMAT</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTV66 Mean Dose (Gy)</td>
<td>68.13 ± 0.2</td>
<td>68.07 ± 0.4</td>
<td>68.65 ± 0.4</td>
<td>67.05 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTV66 Max Dose (Gy)</td>
<td>70.90 ± 0.3</td>
<td>71.11 ± 0.6</td>
<td>71.70 ± 0.5</td>
<td>71.33 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5% (Gy)</td>
<td>65.03 ± 0.5</td>
<td>64.64 ± 0.2</td>
<td>66.02 ± 0.4</td>
<td>63.95 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D95 (Gy)</td>
<td>70.25 ± 0.3</td>
<td>70.50 ± 0.6</td>
<td>70.52 ± 0.5</td>
<td>69.02 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.006</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.007</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU</td>
<td>243 ± 0.02</td>
<td>242 ± 0.08</td>
<td>705 ± 0.9</td>
<td>915 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Dosimetric parameters for bilateral carotid arteries and MS for all plans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>3DCRT-OFL</th>
<th>3DCRT-3F</th>
<th>IMRT</th>
<th>IMAT</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCA Mean dose (Gy)</td>
<td>55.39 ± 4.2</td>
<td>43.54 ± 3.7</td>
<td>27.63 ± 5.9</td>
<td>17.72 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA Mean dose (Gy)</td>
<td>55.81 ± 5.9</td>
<td>47.30 ± 5.1</td>
<td>32.39 ± 5.5</td>
<td>22.92 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA Max dose (Gy)</td>
<td>69.07 ± 0.6</td>
<td>63.88 ± 2.5</td>
<td>64.75 ± 4.7</td>
<td>59.29 ± 6.9</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA Max dose (Gy)</td>
<td>68.98 ± 0.4</td>
<td>65.73 ± 2.4</td>
<td>66.68 ± 4.2</td>
<td>65.00 ± 5.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA V35 (%)</td>
<td>83.00 ± 6.2</td>
<td>75.52 ± 3.7</td>
<td>33.35 ± 15.7</td>
<td>7.1 ± 5.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA V35 (%)</td>
<td>83.22 ± 6.0</td>
<td>79.32 ± 5.3</td>
<td>45.38 ± 13.9</td>
<td>20.29 ± 12.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA V50 (%)</td>
<td>75.24 ± 6.8</td>
<td>41.30 ± 12.6</td>
<td>13.28 ± 11.2</td>
<td>1.9 ± 3.09</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA V50 (%)</td>
<td>75.87 ± 0.5</td>
<td>58.38 ± 14.1</td>
<td>21.82 ± 11.2</td>
<td>8.8 ± 11.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA V63 (%)</td>
<td>59.01 ± 9.1</td>
<td>2.6 ± 5.6</td>
<td>1.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.4 ± 1.14</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA V63 (%)</td>
<td>62.22 ± 6.7</td>
<td>13.3 ± 16.2</td>
<td>4.5 ± 5.3</td>
<td>3.2 ± 6.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS Max</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>21.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>41.4 ± 5.9</td>
<td>44.24 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCA: Sağ Karotis Arter, LCA: Sol Karotis Arter, MS: Medullaspinalis

---

Conclusions

In head and neck cancers radiotherapy constitutes a risk factor in terms of carotid artery atherosclerosis and cerebrovascular event. In this study comparing IMRT, 3DCRT and IMAT plans in terms of carotid artery doses has revealed that significant decrease in carotid artery doses acquired with IMAT technique. However, the potential increased rate of laryngeal complications from dose inhomogeneity should also be prospectively investigated.
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