

Novelty of continuous intravenous infusion of bumetanide in severe congestive heart failure associated with renal failure

Anil K Mandal*

*Consultant Nephrologist, Putnam Community Medical Center, Palatka, Florida, USA

*Clinical Professor of Medicine, University of Florida at Gainesville, Florida, USA

Abstract

This study describes efficacy of continuous infusion of bumetanide in severe congestive heart failure (CHF) associated with severe renal failure. Renal failure progresses as CHF advances. Renin-angiotensin inhibitor drugs consisting of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor and angiotensin receptor blocker are main stay of therapy in CHF. These drugs aggravate renal failure, decrease urine output, make patients fluid overloaded and more short of breath, warranting repeated hospitalization for relief of shortness of breath. Diuretic therapy in the form of bolus help but continuous infusion of diuretic therapy in the form of furosemide or bumetanide for 72-96 hours produce massive diuresis even in the presence of renal failure and produce symptomatic relief of shortness of breath and even improvement of renal function. A patient is illustrated here to that effect. Continuous bumetanide infusion affords symptomatic relief and active rehabilitation not provided by any other therapy after end of infusion therapy.

Introduction

Renal failure is a consistent accompaniment of CHF. Renal failure progresses as CHF advances. Progressive renal failure is at least in part due to therapy for CHF such as use of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE1), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) or a combination of both without or with diuretics [1] Persistently low blood pressure produced by the above paradigm of therapy is a fundamental mechanism of decreased renal blood flow which determines sustained renal failure. In earlier stages of availability of ACE1/ARB, it was noted that this therapeutic approach is commonly accompanied by systemic hypotension and functional renal inefficiency, which may limit the utility of treatment in some patients [2,3].

Of these drugs adverse effects are the expected consequences of interference with renin-angiotensin system, which generally preserves systemic blood pressure and renal function in low output states [4]. As cardiac output and renal blood flow decline, renin is released from the kidney, the resulting increase in angiotensin II acts to support systemic perfusion and glomerular filtration pressure by systemic arteriolar and intrarenal efferent arteriolar vasoconstriction, respectively [5]. Consequently, when actions of angiotensin II are suppressed, systemic and intraglomerular pressures decline resulting in systemic hypotension and worsening renal function [6].

By step wise logistic regression analysis, only two variables- an elevated plasma renin activity and therapy with enalapril- independently predicted a decrease in creatinine clearance in these patients with severe heart failure. When patients were separated into two groups on the basis of a pretreatment plasma renin activity of 5 ng/ml per hour, only patients with a plasma renin activity of ≥ 5 ng/ml/h showed a decrease in creatinine clearance after converting enzyme inhibition. In contrast, no notable changes in creatinine clearance was observed with lower renin values [6].

This finding asserts that active renin- angiotensin system (high renin) is essential to maintain normal blood pressure and renal hemodynamic state in states of low cardiac output such as severe chronic heart failure. Therefore, physiologically use of ACE1, ARB in chronic heart failure is unjustifiable from stand point of renal function. It is evident that suppression of renin-angiotensin system by ACE1/ARB groups of drugs even without chronic heart failure can lead to rapid deterioration of renal function.

Here is an example to that effect.

A 65 year white male with biopsy proven focal glomerular sclerosis was followed by the author along with a military doctor from 1983-1991. When ACE1, Lisinopril was available in the market with great publicity of renoprotection, the military doctor placed him on Lisinopril. The result of rapid deterioration of renal function ending in hemodialysis therapy is shown in Table 1.

The above illustrates the most detrimental effect of ACE1 (Lisinopril) on renal function, electrolyte balance and hematopoietic system characterized by progressive renal failure, hyperkalemia and progressive anemia. The patient ended up treated with maintenance hemodialysis.

Correspondence to: Anil K. Mandal, 800 Zeagler Drive Suite 510, Palatka, Florida 32177, USA, Tel: (386) 530-4040, Fax: (386) 530-6795, E-mail: amandal@med-spec.com

Key words: pathophysiology of renal failure, fluid overload and electrolyte imbalance in severe congestive heart failure (CHF)

Received: September 03, 2016; **Accepted:** September 16, 2016; **Published:** September 21, 2016

Table 1. Effect of lisinopril on renal function.
63y white male

Year	BUN/cr mg/dl	Serum K mmol/l	Hematocrit (%)
1983	29/1.9	N/A	51
1987	43/4.1	4.6	41
1989	Lisinopril started		
#1	67/5.3	5.8	35
#2	55/5.7	5.6	N/A
#3	57/5.0	6.1	34.6
1990	54/5.7	5.4*	36.2
1991	71/9.3	4.0*	34.4

Cr= Serum creatinine

N/A= Not Available

*After treatment with Sodium polystyrene sulfate (Kayexalate)

Therefore there is no justification of the use of ACEI/ARB in CHF which is associated with decreased renal function. What else can be done to relieve shortness of breath and treat fluid overload in patients with CHF.

Available alternative is to treat the fluid overload by use of single or a combination of diuretic. It is important to understand that efficacy of a diuretic to increasing urine output depends upon delivery of diuretic(s) into the renal tubules which will depend upon glomerular filtration rate (GFR). When GFR is decreased with use of ACEI/ARB group of drugs, delivery of diuretics in the tubules will be diminished resulting in impotent diuresis. Hence in order to improve diuresis, no drugs should be used which may inhibit GFR. Increasing the dose of diuretics doesn't necessarily increase diuresis.

Paradigm of therapy in promoting diuresis and affording symptomatic relief in patients with severe CHF associated with advanced renal failure

Loop diuretics consisting of furosemide (Lasix®), torsemide (Demadex®), ethacrynic acid (Edecrin®), and bumetanide (Bumex®) are very potent diuretics. They can be administered orally, as intravenous boluses or by continuous intravenous infusion [7].

A. Oral diuretic therapy is efficacious in producing adequate diuresis and reducing swelling in those with normal or near normal function. Overtime diuretic effect decreases with progressive loss of potassium in the urine. As intracellular potassium is depleted, sodium takes the place of potassium inside the cells and hold water thereby resurging edema. The effect of diuretics in inducing diuresis can be maintained, if concomitantly spironolactone or amiloride is administered along with a loop diuretic. As renal function decreases in course of time with daily use of a diuretic, diuresis becomes impotent, thus requiring much higher doses of a diuretic to produce the same or even lesser response. This is called refractory state [8]

B. Bolus injection of diuretic

The following bolus injection of loop diuretics was recommended; Furosemide 100 to 200 mg, Bumetanide 8 to 10 mg, and torsemide 50 to 100 mg. The 2009 American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association guideline for patients with severe CHF recommended higher dose of furosemide or torsemide but lower dose of bumetanide as already stated [9].

Novelty of continuous intravenous infusion of bumetanide.

1). Rationale: The rationale for a continuous intravenous infusion of a diuretic as compared with bolus therapy is related to maintenance of an effective rate of drug excretion and therefore inhibition of sodium chloride reabsorption in the loop of Henle overtime. In contrast, bolus therapy is associated with initially higher and then lower rate, of diuretic excretion, as a result, sodium excretion may be at near maximal levels for the first two hours but thereafter decreases until the next dose is given.

2). A continuous intravenous infusion is safer in terms of ototoxicity than bolus injection of loop diuretics. Urine output is significantly higher with a continuous infusion than bolus therapy, although the difference is modest [11].

3). Author's experience with continuous infusion of bumetanide.

In the author's experience in a prospective fashion, continuous infusion of bumetanide is highly efficacious; however, the efficacy varies with the state of renal function at the initiation of infusion and fluid overload. Theoretically, in a state of end stage renal disease with serum creatinine ≥ 5 mg/dl or eGFR ≤ 10 ml/min, massive diuresis with continuous diuretic infusion is inconceivable. Nonetheless, there are occasional patients with very low renal function such as chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage 5 responds superbly to continuous infusion of bumetanide with good diuresis, symptomatic relief of shortness of breath, and even improvement of renal function.

A patient with severe CHF and CKD stage 5 is presented here to illustrate the successful outcome with continuous infusion of bumetanide.

RB, 81 yr. white female was admitted to a local hospital on May 24, 2016 for increased shortness of breath. She was very weak and frail. Her home medications consisted of flovent inhaler, bumetanide (Bumex®) 4 mg PO BID, gabapentin (Neurontin®) 300mg at night PO, isosorbide mononitrate 30 mg once daily, magnesium oxide 400 mg twice daily, metoprolol 25 mg twice daily and nitrobid sublingually as required. Laboratory studies done on May 24, 2016 showed hemoglobin 10.4 g/dl, hematocrit 34.2%, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) 1514pg/ml. Laboratory studies done on May 25, 2016 revealed Bun/cr 50/3.28 mg/dl, eGFR 14 ml/min, Na(+)/K(+) 139/4.8. Because of Increased shortness of breath bumetanide (Bumex®) infusion (drip) was started on May 28, 2016. Typically bumetanide infusion consists of 24 mg bumetanide dissolved in 500 ml ½ normal or normal saline solution depending serum sodium level and titrated to deliver at 21 ml/hour (approximately 1 mg/h)

Duration of infusion is usually for 72 to 96 hours depending on the extent of the diuresis. It has been observed that lower the kidney function, at the onset slower the initial response, and poorer the overall response.

Therapeutic response of diuretic infusion is assessed by

- 1). Intake and output chart
- 2). Symptomatic relief of shortness of breath
- 3). Decrease of swelling of the body
- 4). Electrolytes changes
- 5). BNP changes

Her intake, output and daily weight from May 28, 2016 to June 2, 2016 are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Daily intake, output, and weight.

2016	May 28	May 29	May 30	May 31	June 01	June 02
Total Intake (ml)	370	571.1	512	1340	1150	240
Total Output (ml)	NA	4950	2700	4000	4700	3500
Fluid (ml) Balance	+370	-4378.9	-2188	-2660	-3550	-3260
I: Oral	300	30	260	836		240
I: IV	70	541.1	252	504	1150	
O: Urine		4950	2700	4000	4700	3500
Weight (kg)	77.000	73.600	71.000	69.400	67.700	NA

N/A= Not Available

(+) = Positive balance

(-) = Negative balance

Table 3. Hospital course and laboratory testing.

Date (2016)	Symptoms (shortness of breath)	Intake/Output	BUN/Scr (mg/dl)	eGFR (ml/min)	Serum K (mml/L)
May 25	N/A	N/A	50/3.28	14	4.8
May 28	Bumex infusion initiated				
May 28	3+	370/ N/A	33/1.97	26	4.2
Weight (kg):	77				
May 29	1+ to 2+	571.1/4950	31/1.80	29	4.0
Weight (kg):	73.6				
May 30	No shortness of breath	572/2700	28/1.62	32	3.4
Weight (kg):	71				
May 31	No Shortness of breath/Alert	1340/4000	24/1.45	37	3.0
Weight (kg):	N/A				
June 1	No shortness of breath/Alert	1150/4700	23/1.22	45	3.6
Weight (kg):	67.7				
June 2	Bumex infusion discontinued				
June 2	No shortness of breath/Alert	240/3500	23/1.25	44	3.5
Weight (kg):					
Aug 10 (Office)	No shortness of breath	N/A	38/1.65	32	3.4

N/A= Not available

Scr= Serum creatinine

eGFR= Estimated glomerular filtration rate

Outcome of continuous bumetanide infusion in the patient illustrated

Continuous bumetanide infusion promptly increased her urine output. Hourly urine output was 206.25 mL on May 29, 112.5 mL on May 30, 166 mL on May 31, 195.8 mL on June 1, and 145.8 mL on June 2. Her hospital course and serial laboratory testing are presented in Table 3.

It should be noted that her urine output was not as high as expected, mainly due to low renal function (eGFR 14 mL/min) at the onset of infusion. However, no information is available in the literature what will be the maximum urine output by continuous infusion of 1mg bumetanide per hour. One thing is certain, that lower the kidney function at the initiation of infusion, lower will be the total urine volume. Although her kidney function in terms of the eGFR improved from CKD stage 5 to CKD stage 2, during the infusion, the urine output didn't proportionately increase. Thus the cause and effect relationship between bumetanide infusion and improvement in renal function and extent of diuresis is poorly understood. Increase in eGFR may be caused by increased urine flow or improvement in heart function and increased cardiac output. Improvement in heart function is manifested by decrease in BNP as shown in Table 4.

After discharge from the hospital she was sent to a nursing home for rehabilitation then went home. She is weak but lives alone and makes her food and came to author's office driving her own car. She

Table 4. Results of BNP.

Date (2016)	BNP assay (pg/mL)
May 24	1514
May 29	Bumex infusion running 1334
June 2	Bumex infusion discontinued 352

is not short of breath. Her kidney function is slightly decreased, CKD stage 3 due to continuous use of diuretic.

Critical comments on the salutary effects of continuous intravenous infusion of bumetanide in CHF associated with severe renal failure

1. Vigilant care is essential to monitor fluid balance (output/intake), electrolytes and renal function changes during the infusion period and at least for several weeks after the infusion is discontinued. If the urine volume is high during the infusion, effective circulating volume will decrease rapidly, leading to a decrease in kidney function which will rapidly decrease diuretic response. In order to avoid this scenario, it is important to replace at least 10% of the urine volume by replacement fluid. Since sodium is lost hugely with diuretic infusion and hyponatremia is a common feature during the diuretic infusion, isotonic saline (0.9%) solution is the ideal solution to be infused at a rate of 50 to 75 mL per hour, delivering 1200 to 1800 mL per 24 hours depending on the urine flow rate and renal function changes. In the

patient illustrated here, replacement fluid was not required because her renal function steadily improved and urine output was not high.

2. Electrolytes And Acid Base changes: they are common and consist of loss of cations sodium, potassium and magnesium, and H⁺ ions. These cations and anions are lost in the urine, resulting in hyponatremia, hypokalemia, hypomagnesemia, and metabolic alkalosis. Depleted intravascular volume and K ion promote bicarbonate reabsorption in the proximal tubules and more so in the distal tubules. Thus, physiologically, hypokalemia and metabolic alkalosis go hand and hand. Clinically, hypokalemia and metabolic alkalosis are very significant and may give rise to cardiac arrhythmia and high mortality. Both hypokalemia and metabolic alkalosis can be treated by adding 40 meq KCl in the isotonic saline infusion bag. Chloride will be absorbed and bicarbonate will be excreted in urine. Metabolic alkalosis will be monitored by daily arterial blood gas analysis which will provide the pH and bicarbonate level. If pH goes above 7.50, acetazolamide (Diamox®) 500 mg IV every 8 to 12 hours should be prescribed to enhance bicarbonate excretion and reduce alkalemia. In order to control hypokalemia at a faster rate, K sparing diuretics such as spironolactone 50 mg PO Q 8 hour or 6 hour or amiloride 5 to 10 mg daily may be prescribed. These K sparing diuretics enhance diuresis to an extent. Magnesium depletion: Hypomagnesemia is often treated by PO replacement by magnesium oxide 400 mg TID, however, magnesium is laxative therefore absorption is erratic. In severe magnesium depletion such as magnesium level of less than 1.5 mg/dl, magnesium replacement should be given as magnesium sulfate 50% solution 2 g 1M every 6 hour for 4 doses which will correct hypomagnesemia in a sustained fashion.

Severity and mortality of CHF. Risk assessment of 3- year cumulative fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events as well as frequency of rehospitalization was determined in 388 patients with CHF during a period of 3 years. These patients were treated with ACEI, ARB, beta blockers, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists and antiplatelet drugs. No mention was made that they were treated with bolus diuretic or continuous infusion of a diuretic. During follow up, 110 cardiovascular events (including 43 fatal cases) were determined, thirty five patients died due to advanced CHF (32%). Additionally 74 subjects were readmitted into hospital after discharge from the hospital due to worsening CHF. Thus the mortality rate of 32% and rehospitalization rate of 74% are very high [12]. In author's experience ACEI and ARB drugs have something to do with that. Author's patient with CHF illustrated here didn't receive renin-angiotensin inhibitor drugs.

Maintenance therapy following diuretic infusion. The author typically prescribes PO bumetanide (Bumex®) 2mg PO twice daily. Bumetanide should be started at least 48 hours before discontinuation of infusion. There are no clinical studies available with regard to maintenance therapy.

Pearl of wisdom

1). Continuous infusion of bumetanide is an effective and safe therapy to treat severe CHF without or with variable degree of renal failure.

2). Continuous infusion of bumetanide produces variable diuresis, depending on renal function at the time of onset of infusion, symptomatic relief of shortness of breath which last for quite some time. Maintenance of relief can be prompted by prescribing PO bumetanide

3). Renal function recovery is common which helps to maintain

the effect of infusion

4). Electrolytes imbalance can be averted by close monitoring of the patients and obtaining laboratory daily.

5). Continuous infusion of bumetanide is a good substitute for dialysis therapy in severe CHF associated with advanced renal failure.

6). In addition, authors study on continuous bumetanide infusion in CHF is expected to reduce mortality and rehospitalization rate in severe CHF.

References

- Mandal A K, Market RJ, Saklayen M, Mankus RA, Yokokawa K (1994) Diuretics potentiate angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor-induced acute renal failure. *Clin Nephrol* 42: 170-174.
- Packer M (1985) Converting-enzyme inhibition for severe chronic heart failure: views from a skeptic. *Int J Cardiol* 7: 111-120. [[Crossref](#)]
- Packer M, Kessler PD, Gottlieb SS (1986) Adverse effects of converting enzyme inhibition in patients with severe congestive heart management. *Post graduate Med J* S-179-82.
- Packer M (1985) Is the renin- angiotensin system really unnecessary in patients with severe chronic heart failure? The price we pay for interfering with evolution (editorial). *JAM Coll Cardiol* 6: 171-3.
- Ichikawa I, Pfeffer JM, Pfeffer MA, Hostetter TH, Brenner BM (1984) Role of angiotensin II in the altered renal function of congestive heart failure. *Circ Res* 55: 669-675. [[Crossref](#)]
- Parker M, Hunglee W, Kessler PD, et al SS (1987) Identification of hyponatremia as a risk factor for the development of renal insufficiency during converting enzyme inhibition in severe chronic heart failure. *JACC* 10: 837-844.
- Mandal AK (2014) Effectiveness of bumetanide infusion in treatment of generalized edema and congestive heart failure. *Open Journal of Internal Medicine* 4 : 73-81.
- Voelker JR, Cartwright-Brown D, Anderson S, Leinfelder J, Sica DA, et al. (1987) Comparison of loop diuretics in patients with chronic renal insufficiency. *Kidney Int* 32: 572-578. [[Crossref](#)]
- Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin M H (2009) focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the diagnosis and management of heart failure in adults. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on practice guidelines. *Circ* 119: e391-e479.
- Dargie HJ, Allison ME, Kennedy AC, Gray MJ (1972) High dosage metolazone in chronic renal failure. *Br Med J* 4: 196-198. [[Crossref](#)]
- Salvador DRK, Punzalan FE, Ramos GC (2005) Continuous infusion versus bolus injection of loop diuretics in congestive heart failure. *The Cochrane Library*.
- (2015) *BBA clinical* 3: 16-24.

Copyright: ©2016 Mandal AK. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.