

Diagnostic imaging for cervical spine clearance in multiple trauma patients – a comprehensive review

Mommsen P*, Clausen JD, Blosser R, Krettek C and Winkelmann M

Trauma Department, Hannover Medical School, 30625 Hannover, Germany

Abstract

Missed cervical spine injuries may have tremendous consequences in trauma patients. Thereby, multiple trauma patients are at special risk for cervical spine injuries. In awake and evaluable patients cervical spine could safely be cleared by clinical criteria even without any radiological imaging. In contrast, diagnostic imaging is mandatory in obtunded patients with relevant concomitant injuries. However, there is no validated diagnostic algorithm for the exclusion of cervical spine injuries in multiple trauma patients. Here, we present a comprehensive review of current literature and evidence concerning diagnostics for cervical spine clearance in adults suffering from blunt multiple trauma.

Introduction

Multiple trauma patients are at special risk for cervical spine injuries [1]. The incidence of cervical spine injuries in adult multiple trauma patients is up to 10% [2-5]. Concomitant traumatic brain injury and maxillofacial lesions represent relevant risk factors for cervical spine injuries [6-11]. In awake and evaluable patient's cervical spine could safely be cleared by clinical criteria even without any radiological imaging according to the Canadian C-spine rule and NEXUS (National Emergency X-Radiography Utilization Study) criteria [12-15]. Due to painful and awareness affecting injuries as well as preclinical procedures like intubation these clinical criteria are not applicable in multiple trauma patients [16,17]. Accordingly, diagnostic imaging is mandatory in these patients in order to rule out cervical spine injuries.

Diagnostic imaging

Based on current literature there is no validated diagnostic gold standard for cervical spine clearance in multiple trauma patients [18]. As a result, a broad variety of diagnostic algorithms exists for cervical spine clearance [19]. There is only a consensus about the diagnostic superiority of computer tomography (CT) compared to conventional x-ray diagnostics [20]. In general, CT-scan is recommended in multiple trauma due to its higher diagnostic accuracy and timely clarification of cervical spine [21-27]. The question whether cervical spine could be sufficiently cleared by solitary CT or whether additional imaging procedures are needed, e.g. magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or functional x-ray imaging, is controversially discussed [28]. According to the high negative predictive value of CT for cervical spine injuries current studies suggest that solitary CT is adequate for the exclusion of cervical spine injuries, even ligament instabilities. In a prospective study of 9.227 patients Duane et al. reported a 100% negative predictive value of CT for cervical spine injuries [19]. With a negative predictive value of 99.97% Inaba et al. described comparable results in a prospective multi-centre study of 10.276 patients [29]. These results are supported by current meta-analyses reporting cervical spine injuries despite inconspicuous CT-scan only in 0-0.3% [18,30]. Comparable rates of false negative CT-scans of 0.04-1.09% were found

in further retrospective and prospective studies [31-33]. In a prospective study by Berne et al. and in a retrospective study by Steigelman et al. with a relatively small number of cases (85 and 120 patients) a higher amount of false negative CT-scans of 5-5.8% was found [5,21]. Due to an even higher proportion of false negative CT-scans of 22% in a prospective study of 1.577 patients Diaz et al. suggested that CT safely exclude bony lesions, but not ligament injuries of the cervical spine [34]. Most of the cited studies obtain their results concerning the diagnostic accuracy of CT in regard to additionally conducted MRI as reference imaging procedure. In general, magnetic resonance imaging plays a tangential role as a diagnostic tool in the acute phase of multiple trauma management due to lack of time and logistical reasons. Substantial indications for MRI scanning are neurological deficits. Besides spinal cord injuries, ligament lesions and damaged intervertebral discs could be excluded by MRI [22,35,36]. However, the clarification of ligament injuries of the cervical spine was found to be unnecessary in a prospective study by Patton et al. due to the low incidence [37]. Alternatively, traction or functional x-ray imaging of the cervical spine could be performed in order to rule out ligament injuries [38-41]. Sliker et al. found no significant differences between MRI and functional x-ray imaging regarding the accuracy in diagnosing ligament lesions of the cervical spine [42]. Nevertheless, the routine and selective use of functional x-ray imaging also seems to be questionable as pathological findings are rare [43-45].

Conclusion

Up to date there is no validated diagnostic gold standard for cervical spine clearance in multiple trauma patients. Based on the current literature cervical spine could be sufficiently cleared, even for

Correspondence to: Philipp Mommsen MD, Trauma Department, Hannover Medical School, Carl-Neuberg-Strasse 1, 30625 Hannover, Germany, E-mail: mommsen.philipp@mh-hannover.de

Key words: cervical spine clearance, diagnostics, imaging, multiple trauma

Received: March 24, 2018; **Accepted:** April 06, 2018; **Published:** April 09, 2018

ligament injuries, by CT without any further diagnostic imaging. MRI plays an additional role in clarification of neurological deficits.

Authorship and contributorship

All authors have made substantial contributions to 1) conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; 2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; 3) final approval of the version to be published; and 4) agreement to act as guarantor of the work (ensuring that questions related to any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved).

Conflicts of interest

There is no conflict of interest. All authors disclose any financial or non-financial competing interests. The presentation of the issue is independent.

References

1. Albrecht RM, Malik S, Kingsley DD, Hart B (2003) Severity of cervical spine ligamentous injury correlates with mechanism of injury, not with severity of blunt head trauma. *Am Surg* 69: 261-265. [[Crossref](#)]
2. Ross SE, O'Malley KF, DeLong WG, Bom CT, Schwab CW (1992) Clinical predictors of unstable cervical spinal injury in multiply injured patients. *Injury* 23: 317-319. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Grossmann MD, Reilly PM, Gillett T, Gillett D (1999) National survey of the incidence of cervical spine injury and approach to cervical spine clearance in U.S. trauma centers. *J Trauma* 47: 684-690. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Buhren V (2002) [Fractures and instability of the cervical spine. *Unfallchirurg* 105: 1049-1066. [[Crossref](#)]
5. Steigelman M, Lopez P, Dent D, Myers J, Corneille M et al. (2008) Screening cervical spine MRI after normal spine CT scans in patients in whom cervical spine injury cannot be excluded by physical examination. *Am J Surg* 196: 857-862. [[Crossref](#)]
6. Blackmore CC, Emerson SS, Mann FA, Koepsell TD (1999) Cervical spine imaging in patients with trauma: determination of fracture risk to optimize use. *Radiology* 211: 759-765. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Hackl W, Fink C, Hausberger K, Ulmer H, Gasner R (2001) The incidence of combined facial and cervical spine injuries. *J Trauma* 50: 41-45. [[Crossref](#)]
8. Hills MW, Deane SA (1993) Head injury and facial injury: is there an increased risk of cervical spine injury? *J Trauma* 34: 549-553. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Iida H, Tachibana S, Kitahara T, Horiike S, Ohwada T, et al. (1999) Association of head trauma with cervical spine injury, spinal cord injury, or both. *J Trauma* 46: 450-452. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Mulligan RP, Friedman JA, Mahabir RC (2010) A nationwide review of the associations among cervical spine injuries, head injuries, and facial fractures. *J Trauma* 68: 587- 592. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Reich W, Surov A, Eckert AW (2016) Maxillofacial trauma – Underestimation of cervical spine injury. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 44: 1469-1478. [[Crossref](#)]
12. Bandiera G, Stiell IG, Wells GA, Clement C, De Maio V, et al. (2003) The Canadian C-spine rule performs better than unstructured physician judgment. *Ann Emerg Med* 42: 395-402. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Hoffman JR, Mower WR, Wolfson AB, Todd KH, Zucker MI, et al. (2000) Validity of a set of clinical criteria to rule out injury to the cervical spine in patients with blunt trauma. National Emergency X-Radiography Utilization Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 343: 94-99. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Stiell IG, Clement CM, McKnight RD, Brison R, Schull MJ, et al. (2003) The Canadian C-spine rule versus the NEXUS low-risk criteria in patients with trauma. *N Engl J Med* 349: 2510-2518.
15. Stiell IG, Wells GA, Vandemheen KL, Clement CM, Lesiuk H, et al. (2001) The Canadian C- spine rule for radiography in alert and stable trauma patients. *JAMA* 286: 1841-1848. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Chang CH, Holmes JF, Mower WR, Panacek EA (2005) Distracting injuries in patients with vertebral injuries. *J Emerg Med* 28: 147-152. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Ullrich A, Hendey GW, Geiderman J, Shaw SG, Hoffman J, et al. (2001) Distracting painful injuries associated with cervical spine injuries in blunt trauma. *Acad Emerg Med* 8: 25-29. [[Crossref](#)]

18. Raza M, Elkhodair S, Zaheer A, Yousaf S (2013) Safe cervical spine clearance in adult obtunded blunt trauma patients on the basis of a normal multidetector CT scan – a meta-analysis and cohort study. *Injury* 44: 1589-1595. [[Crossref](#)]
19. Duane TM, Young AJ, Vanguri P, Wolfe LG, Katzen J, et al. (2016) Defining the cervical spine clearance algorithm: A single-institution prospective study of more than 9,000 patients. *J Trauma* 81: 541-547. [[Crossref](#)]
20. Antevil JL, Sise MJ, Sack DI, Kidder B, Hopper A, et al. (2006) Spiral computed tomography for the initial evaluation of spine trauma: A new standard of care? *J Trauma* 61: 382-387. [[Crossref](#)]
21. Berne JD, Velmahos GC, El-Tawil Q, Demetriades D, Asensio JA, et al. (1999) Value of complete cervical helical computed tomography scanning in identifying cervical spine in the unevaluable blunt trauma patient with multiple injuries. *J Trauma* 47: 896- 902. [[Crossref](#)]
22. Crim JR, Moore K, Brodke D (2001) Clearance of the cervical spine in multitrauma patients: the role of advanced imaging. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 22: 283-305. [[Crossref](#)]
23. Harris MB, Kronlage SC, Carboni PA, Robert KQ, Menmuir B, et al. (2000) Evaluation of the cervical spine in the polytrauma patient. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 25: 2884- 2891. [[Crossref](#)]
24. Holmes JF, Akkinepalli R (2005) Computed tomography versus plain radiography to screen for cervical spine injury: a meta-analysis. *J Trauma* 58: 902-905. [[Crossref](#)]
25. Link TM, Schuierer G, Hufendiek A, Peters PE, (1994) Fractures of the cervical spine. Diagnosis in multiple trauma patients. *Radiologe* 34: 721-727. [[Crossref](#)]
26. Platzer P, Jaindl M, Thalhammer G, Dittrich S, Wieland T, et al. (2006) Clearing the cervical spine in critically injured patients: a comprehensive C-spine protocol to avoid unnecessary delays in diagnosis. *Eur Spine J* 15: 1801-1810. [[Crossref](#)]
27. Schenarts PJ, Diaz J, Kaiser C, Carrillo Y, Eddy V, et al. (2001) Prospective comparison of admission computed tomographic scan and plain films of the upper cervical spine in trauma patients with altered mental status. *J Trauma* 51: 663-668. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Como JJ, Diaz JJ, Dunham CM, Chiu WC, Duane TM, et al. (2009) Practice management guidelines for identification of cervical spine injuries following trauma: update from the eastern association for the surgery of trauma practice management guidelines committee. *J Trauma* 67: 651-659. [[Crossref](#)]
29. Inaba K, Byerly S, Bush LD, Martin MJ, Peck KA, et al. (2016) Cervical spine clearance: A prospective Western Trauma Association Multi-Institutional Trial. *J Trauma* 81: 1122-1130. [[Crossref](#)]
30. Patel MB, Humble SS, Cullinane DC, Day MA, Jawa RS, et al. (2015) Cervical spine collar clearance in the obtunded adult blunt trauma patient: a systematic review and practice management guideline from the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma. *J Trauma* 78: 430-441. [[Crossref](#)]
31. Harris TJ, Blackmore CC, Mirza SK, Jurkovich GJ (2008) Clearing the cervical spine in obtunded patients. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 33: 1547-1553. [[Crossref](#)]
32. Sanchez B, Waxman K, Jones T, Connor S, Chung R, et al. (2005) Cervical spine clearance in blunt trauma: evaluation of a computed tomography-based protocol. *J Trauma* 59: 179-183. [[Crossref](#)]
33. Hogan GJ, Mirvis SE, Shanmuganathan K, Scalea TM (2005) Exclusion of unstable cervical spine injury in obtunded patients with blunt trauma: is MR imaging needed when multi-detector row CT findings are normal? *Radiology* 237: 106-113. [[Crossref](#)]
34. Diaz JJ, Aulino JM, Collier B, Roman C, May AK, et al. (2005) The early work-up for isolated ligamentous injury of the cervical spine: does computed tomography scan have a role? *J Trauma* 59: 897-903.
35. Katzberg RW, Benedetti PF, Drake CM, Ivanovic M, Levine RA, et al. (1999) Acute cervical spine injuries: prospective MR imaging assessment at a level 1 trauma center. *Radiology* 213: 203-212.
36. Ghanta MK, Smith LM, Polin RS, Marr AB, Spires WV, et al. (2002) An analysis of Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma practice guidelines for cervical spine evaluation in a series of patients with multiple imaging techniques. *Am Surg* 68: 563-567.
37. Patton JH, Kralovich KA, Cuschieri J, Gasparri M (2000) Clearing the cervical spine in victims of blunt assault to the head and neck: what is necessary? *Am Surg* 66: 326- 330. [[Crossref](#)]
38. Sees DW, Rodriguez Cruz LR, Flaherty SF, Cicieri DP, et al. (1998) use of bedside fluoroscopy to evaluate the cervical spine in obtunded trauma patients. *J Trauma* 45 768- 771.
39. Lewis LM, Docherty M, Ruoff BE, Fortney JP, Keltner RA, et al. (1991) Flexion-extension views in the evaluation of cervical-spine injuries. *Ann Emerg Med* 20: 117-121.

40. Davis JW, Parks SN, Detlefs CL, Williams GG, Williams JL, et al. (1995) Clearing the cervical spine in obtunded patients: the use of dynamic fluoroscopy. *J Trauma* 39: 435-438. [[Crossref](#)]
41. Ajani AE, Cooper DJ, Scheinkeste CD, Laidlaw J, Tuxen DV, et al. (1998) Optimal assessment of cervical spine trauma in critically ill patients: a prospective evaluation. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 26: 487-491.
42. Sliker CW, Mirvis SE, Shanmuganathan (2005) Assessing cervical spine stability in obtunded blunt trauma patients: review of medical literature. *Radiology* 234: 733-739. [[Crossref](#)]
43. Anglen J, Metzler M, Bunn P, Griffiths H (2002) Flexion and extension views are not cost-effective in a cervical spine clearance protocol for obtunded trauma patients. *J Trauma* 52: 54-59. [[Crossref](#)]
44. Griffiths HJ, Wagner J, Anglen J, Bunn P, Metzler M, et al. (2002) The use of forced flexion/extension views in the obtunded trauma patients. *Skeletal Radiol* 31: 587-591. [[Crossref](#)]
45. Pollack CV, Hendey GW, Martin DR, Hoffman JR, Mower WR, et al. (2001) Use of flexion- extension radiographs of the cervical spine in blunt trauma. *Ann Emerg Med* 38: 8-11. [[Crossref](#)]